arXiv:1605.01747v1 [math.DS] 5 May 2016

RELATIVE ENTROPY AND THE PINSKER PRODUCT FORMULA FOR SOFIC GROUPS

BEN HAYES

Abstract. We continue our study of the outer Pinsker factor for probability measure-preserving actions of sofic groups. Using the notion of doubly quenched convergence developed by Austin, we prove that in many cases the outer Pinsker factor of a product action is the product of the outer Pinsker factors. Our results are parallel to those of Seward for Rohklin entropy. We use these Pinsker products formulas to show that for many actions $G \curvearrowright X$ where X is a compact group and the action is by automorphism and G is sofic, the outer Pinsker factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is given as a quotient by a G-invariant, closed, normal subgroup. We use our results to show that if G is sofic and $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ is invertible as a convolution operator $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$, then the action of G on the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n}/\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} f$ has completely positive measure-theoretic entropy with respect to the Haar measure. This last application requires our previous work connecting topological entropy in the presence as defined by Li-Liang to measure-theoretic entropy in the presence (implicitly defined by Kerr) for actions on compact groups. In particular, we need our previous formulation of measure-theoretic entropy in the presence in terms of a given topological model.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Relative Sofic Entropy 6
3. Preliminaries on Doubly Quenched Convergence 13
4. A Product Formula For Pinsker Factors 20
5. Applications to Complete Positive Entropy of Algebraic Actions 22
Appendix A. Agreement of Relative Entropy with the Amenable Case 24
References 31

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to continue the investigation set out in [23] on structural properties of the outer Pinsker factor for sofic groups and apply them to the entropy theory of algebraic actions. Recall that for an amenable group G and a probability measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, there is a largest factor $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$ of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ so that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$ has entropy zero. We call $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$ the *Pinsker factor* of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. We say that $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has completely positive entropy if its Pinsker factor is trivial. For notational purposes, we often write $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ to mean that $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is a factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ we will also say that $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is an extension in situations where we want to keep track of X, Y and the factor map $X \to Y$.

Since the Pinsker factor is so natural, one expects that it inherits much of the structure that the original action has. For instance, it has been shown (by [19] if the actions are free and [15] in general) that if G is amenable and $G \curvearrowright (X_j, \mu_j), j = 1, 2$ are two probability measure-preserving actions with Pinsker factors $G \curvearrowright (Z_j, \zeta_j)$, then the Pinsker factor of $G \curvearrowright (X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ is $G \curvearrowright (Z_1 \times Z_2, \zeta_1 \times \zeta_2)$. This shows that products of actions with completely positive entropy have completely positive entropy, but this result has many more applications. For instance, using this it can be shown that if G is an amenable group, if X is a compact group with Haar measure m_X and $G \curvearrowright X$ by continuous automorphisms, then there is a closed, G-invariant $Y \lhd X$ so that the Pinsker factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is $G \curvearrowright (X/Y, m_{X/Y})$ (see [39] and Theorem 8.1 of [13]). Thus in this case the Pinsker factor inherits the algebraic structure that $G \curvearrowright X$ has. Moreover, it is shown in Section 8 of [13] that this reduces the question as to whether or not an action on a compact,

Date: May 9, 2016.

metrizable group $G\curvearrowright X$ has completely positive measure-theoretic entropy to whether $G\curvearrowright X$ has completely positive topological entropy. As we have already given similar examples in the sofic case of actions with completely positive topological entropy in [22], we wish to carry over the techniques to the sofic world and show that these actions have completely positive measure-theoretic entropy. Thus in this paper we will give product formulas for Pinsker factors for actions of sofic groups similar to the ones in [19],[15].

Entropy for measure-preserving actions of sofic groups was defined in pioneering work of Bowen in [7] under the assumption of a generating partition with finite entropy. Work of Kerr-Li in [27] removed this assumption and defined topological entropy as well. The class of sofic groups include all amenable groups, all residually finite groups, all linear groups, all residually sofic groups, all locally sofic groups and is closed under free products with amalgamation over amenable subgroups (see [37],[17],[38]). Thus sofic entropy is a vast generalization of entropy for amenable groups as defined by Kieffer in [29]. Since we will need to refer to it later, we roughly describe the definition of soficity and sofic entropy. Roughly, G is sofic if there is a sequence of functions (not assumed to be homomorphisms) $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ which give "almost free almost actions." Essentially here one just means that the set of points $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ for which the action hypothesis $\sigma_i(gh)(j) = \sigma_i(g)\sigma_i(h)(j)$ fails has very small size and that for all $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and most $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ we have $\sigma_i(g)(j) \neq j$. Given a probability measure-preserving action $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$, the sofic entropy of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ (with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$) measures "how many" finitary approximations $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,d_i\} \to X$ of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ there are which are compatible with this sofic approximation. We call such approximations "microstates." Analogous to the definition for amenable groups, one can define the Pinsker factor for actions of sofic groups (we remark that the Pinsker factor depends, a priori, on the sofic approximation).

Though this definition of entropy ends up being satisfactory for many purposes, there are nevertheless properties of entropy for amenable groups (namely decrease of entropy under factor maps) which necessarily fail for actions of sofic groups. There are examples due to Ornstein and Weiss which show that under any reasonable definition of entropy for actions of nonamenable groups, entropy will increase under certain factor maps. Thus there could be factors of the Pinsker factor which have zero entropy. One can "fix" this by considering *entropy in the presence*. Implicit in work of Kerr in [24], entropy in the presence measures for a given factor $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ "how many" finitary approximations of $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ there are which "lift" to finitary approximations of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$. If $G\curvearrowright(Z,\zeta)$ is a factor of $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ then the entropy of $G\curvearrowright(Z,\zeta)$ in the presence of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is at most the entropy of $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ in the presence of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$. Entropy in the presence leads us to define the *outer Pinsker Factor* which is the largest factor $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ which has zero entropy in the presence of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. We remark again that the definition of the outer Pinsker factor depends, a priori, on the choice of a sofic approximation. In our opinion, the outer Pinsker factor is a more natural object and it is this version of the Pinsker factor we give a product formula for.

Even once we consider the correct version of the Pinsker factor, there are still delicate issues that occur in the investigation of product actions for sofic entropy. Namely, if one assumes that we have microstates $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,d_i\} \to X_j, j = 1,2$ for $G\curvearrowright(X_j,\mu_j), j = 1,2$ there is no way to ensure that $\phi_1 \times \phi_2\colon \{1,\dots,d_i\} \to X_1 \times X_2$ is a microstate for $G\curvearrowright(X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$. Because of this, even if $G\curvearrowright(X_j,\mu_j), j = 1,2$ have completely positive entropy, there is no way to guarantee that $G\curvearrowright(X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ has positive entropy. To deal with this, we use the notion of doubly-quenched convergence developed by Austin in [3]. We briefly describe doubly quenched convergence. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action where X is compact, μ is a Borel probability measure and $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphism. Doubly quenched convergence roughly asserts that there is a random choice of microstates $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,d_i\} \to X$, i.e. a sequence of probability measure $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ almost supported on the space of microstates, so that for every $f \in C(X)$ and for "most" j in $\{1,\dots,d_i\}$ we have

$$\int_{X^{d_i}} f(x(j))\, d\mu_i(x) \approx \int_X f\, d\mu$$

and so that $\mu_i \otimes \mu_i$ is also almost supported on the space of microstates for $X \times X$. One of the main results of [3] is that this implies that there is a way to produce (at random) a microstate for $G\curvearrowright(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu)$ for any other action $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ (assuming $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ has microstates to begin with). Austin also showed that the existence of $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ which doubly quenched converge to μ is independent of the compact model. We thus define $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ to be *strongly sofic* (with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$) if there is some compact model for $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ (equivalently for all compact models) in which there is a sequence $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ which doubly

quenched converges to μ. This ends up being a crucial property which allows us to prove a product formula for Pinsker factors.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X_j, \mu_j), j = 1, 2$ be standard probability spaces and $G\curvearrowright(X_j, \mu_j), j = 1, 2$ probability measure-preserving actions which are strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Let (Z_j, ζ_j) be the outer Pinsker factor of $G\curvearrowright(X_j, \mu_j), j = 1, 2$ with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Then the outer Pinsker factor of $G\curvearrowright(X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$ is $G\curvearrowright(Z_1 \times Z_2, \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2)$.*

We in fact prove something more general than Theorem 1.1, namely we prove a product formula for "relative Pinsker factors" we refer the reader to Corollary 4.4 for the precise statement. One can also consider Rohklin entropy as investigated by Seward in [41] and in a similar manner define the Outer Rohklin Pinsker factor (see [42] for the definition). Seward has shown in [42] that if $G\curvearrowright(X_j, \mu_j)$ are two free, probability measure-preserving actions, then the outer Rohklin Pinsker factor of $G\curvearrowright(X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ is the product of the outer Rohklin Pinsker factors for $G\curvearrowright(X_1, \mu_1), G\curvearrowright(X_2, \mu_2)$ assuming that $G\curvearrowright(X_j, \mu_j), j = 1, 2$ is weakly contained in a Bernoulli shift action. Motivated by these results, we prove the following permanence properties of strong soficity.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$.*

(i) If $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action with (X, μ) standard and $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is an inverse limit of actions which are strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$, then so is $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$.

(ii) Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(Y, \nu), G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ are two probability measure-preserving actions with $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ standard and that $G\curvearrowright(Y, \nu)$ is weakly contained in $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$. If $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is strongly sofic, then so is $G\curvearrowright(Y, \nu)$.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 give us a Pinsker product formula for actions weakly contained in Bernoulli shift actions, and so our results are parallel to those of Seward in [42]. To prove Theorem 1.2, we rephrase doubly quenched convergence in functional analytic terms in a way which avoids specifying a dynamically generating pseudometric. Moreover, we work in the framework of operator algebras and the ultraproduct characterization of weak containment given in [1].

Following arguments of [5],[13] we can prove that for actions on compact, metrizable spaces which are strongly sofic that the outer Pinsker factor is given by the action on a quotient by a G-invariant, closed, normal subgroup.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable group with $G \curvearrowright X$ by automorphisms. If $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is strongly sofic, then there exists a closed, normal, G-invariant subgroup $Y \subseteq X$ so that the outer Pinsker factor (with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$) is given by the map $X \to X/Y$.*

In [23] we gave a formula, analogous to the Kerr-Li formulation of entropy in [27], for measure-theoretic entropy in the presence in terms of a topological model for the factor $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu) \to G\curvearrowright(Y, \nu)$ (see [23] Definition 2.7). Li-Liang then gave a similar formulation for topological entropy in the presence in (see [31] Definition 9.3). Both of these definitions are recalled in Definitions 5.2 and 5.3 of this paper. Topological entropy in the presence is analogous to measure entropy in the presence in that it measures for a given topological factor $G\curvearrowright Y$ of $G\curvearrowright X$ how many microstates $G\curvearrowright Y$ has which "lift"' to microstates for $G\curvearrowright X$. In Theorem 1.1 of [20], we related topological entropy in the presence to measure theoretic entropy in the presence for strongly sofic actions on compact groups, using the similarity between our definition of measure theoretic entropy in the presence in terms of a given compact model and Li-Liang's definition of topological entropy in the presence. If X is a compact, metrizable space and $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms, we say that $G\curvearrowright X$ has completely positive topological entropy in the presence if for every nontrivial topological factor Y of X we have that the topological entropy of $G\curvearrowright Y$ in the presence of $G\curvearrowright X$ is positive. As with measure entropy, completely positive topological entropy in the presence implies completely positive topological entropy. Using Theorem 1.1 of [20] we have the following corollary of Theorem 1.3 connecting completely positive *topological* entropy in the presence to completely positive *measure theoretic* entropy in the presence.

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable group with $G \curvearrowright X$ by automorphisms. If $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is strongly sofic, then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ *has completely positive measure entropy in the presence with respect to* $(\sigma_i)_i$,

(ii) $G \curvearrowright X$ *has completely positive topological entropy in the presence with respect to* $(\sigma_i)_i$,

(iii) For any closed, normal, G*-invariant subgroup* $Y \subseteq X$ *with* $Y \neq X$ *the topological entropy of* $G \curvearrowright X/Y$ *in the presence of* $G \curvearrowright X$ *with respect to* $(\sigma_i)_i$ *is positive.*

We now consider specific actions on compact groups. For a countable group G and $f \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}(G))$, write $f_{sl} = \sum_{x \in G} \widehat{f_{sl}}(x)x$ for $1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n$. We define $\lambda(f) \colon \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$ and $r(f) \colon \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m} \to \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$ by:

$$(\lambda(f)\xi)(l)(g) = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{x \in G} \widehat{f_{ls}}(x)\xi(s)(x^{-1}g), \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq n, g \in G,$$

$$(r(f)\xi)(l)(g) = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{x \in G} \widehat{f_{sl}}(x)\xi(s)(x^{-1}g), \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq n, g \in G.$$

We set X_f to be the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n}/r(f)(\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus m})$. We have an action $G \curvearrowright X_f$ given by

$$(gx)(a) = x(g^{-1}a), \ x \in X_f, \ a \in \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n}/r(f)(\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus m}).$$

In particular, by [21] (see Proposition 2.15 of [20]) we know that Theorem 1.1 applies to $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ when $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ has $\lambda(f)$ injective. We combine this with our previous results in [22] to give examples of algebraic actions which have completely positive measure-theoretic entropy in the presence (i.e. their outer Pinsker factor is trivial). Note that completely positive entropy in the presence implies completely positive sofic entropy.

Corollary 1.5. *Let* G *be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation* $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. *Let* $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ *be such that* $\lambda(f)$ *is invertible. Then* $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ *has completely positive entropy in the presence. That is, if* $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ *is a measure-theoretic factor of* $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ *and* Y *is not a point, then the entropy of* $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ *in the presence of* $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ *with respect to* $(\sigma_i)_i$ *is positive.*

We remark that Kerr in [25] showed that Bernoulli actions have completely positive entropy. Our result covers his, since it follows from [9] that every Bernoulli action is a factor of an algebraic action of the above form. The above result is of interest, not just because it further the connections between the ergodic theoretic properties of $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ and the operator theoretic properties of $\lambda(f)$ as shown in e.g. [16],[30],[32],[33], but also because it has long been asked whether $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ is Bernoulli if $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ is invertible as an operator $\ell^1(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^1(G)^{\oplus n}$ (see e.g. [34] Conjecture 6.8). We believe that we should in fact have many Bernoulli-like properties of $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ when $\lambda(f)$ is invertible (i.e. f is invertible as a convolution operator $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$). It is easy to see that being invertible as an operator $\ell^1(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^1(G)^{\oplus n}$ implies invertibility as an operator $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \to \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$. As Bernoulli shifts have completely positive entropy by [25], the above corollary provides further evidence of the Bernoulli-like behavior of these actions. The other results on completely positive entropy for actions of sofic groups is the work of Austin-Burton in [4] (following up on previous work of Burton in [12]). Here they show that if G has an element of infinite order, then it has continuum many nonisomorphic actions with completely positive entropy, none of which factor onto a Bernoulli shift. Thus any group with an element of infinite order has many actions with completely positive entropy. If in addition G has a central element of infinite order then the results in [12] imply that we can construct a continuum of actions $\{G \curvearrowright (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ so that $G \curvearrowright (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)^{\mathbb{N}}$ does not factor onto $G \curvearrowright (X_{\alpha'}, \mu_{\alpha'})$ for all $\alpha \neq \alpha$ in A. We believe such a continuum of actions should exist for any group containing an element of infinite order (central or not).

We mention here a few examples of $f \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$ which have $\lambda(f)$ invertible. First, if f is invertible in the convolution algebra $\ell^1(G)$, then $\lambda(f)$ is invertible. By standard Banach algebra arguments, this applies for example if

$$f = b - \sum_{x \in G} a_x x$$

for $(a_x)_{x \in G} \in c_c(\mathbb{Z}, G)$ and $b \in Z$ with $\sum_{x \in G} |a_x| < |b|$. In the case that f is invertible in $M_n(\ell^1(G))$ Corollary 1.5 follows from Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 of [20] and Theorem 6.7 of [28]. However, if we only assume that $\lambda(f)$ is invertible (and not that f is invertible in $M_n(\ell^1(G))$), then we need to use the full strength of [22] instead of Theorem 6.7 of [28].

Now consider f as above, but now suppose that $a_x \geq 0$ that $b \neq 0$ and that

$$\sum_{x \in G} a_x = b.$$

Then, if $\{y^{-1}x : x, y \in G, a_x \neq 0, a_y \neq 0\}$ generates a nonamenable group it is well known that

$$\left\| \sum_x a_x \lambda(x) \right\| < b.$$

and this again (by standard Banach algebra arguments) implies that $\lambda(f)$ is invertible. One can show that f is not invertible in $\ell^1(G)$ in this example (this follows from the same argument as Theorem A.1 of [10]). This example is called the *Harmonic model*, as X_f in this case may be regarded as the space of μ-harmonic functions $f \colon G \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. functions with $\mu * f = f$) where μ is the measure $\sum_{x \in G} \frac{a_x}{b} \delta_x$. The entropy theory of the Harmonic model for nonamenable G was first studied in [10]. It is related to Wired Spanning Forests and tree entropy as defined by Lyons in [36]. Similar examples can be given by considering

$$f = b + \sum_{x \in G} a_x x.$$

Suppose that there exists $g, h \in G$ so that g, h so that the semigroup generated by g, h (but not necessarily the group generated by g, h) is a free semigroup. Then by Example A.1 of [30] we know that

$$f = 3e + (e - g - g^2)h$$

has $\lambda(f)$ invertible, but is not invertible in $\ell^1(G)$.

We make a few brief remarks on the proof of Theorem 1.1. Important in the proof is the new notion of *relative sofic entropy*. Given an extension $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, relative entropy roughly measures the maximal number of ways there are to "lift" any microstate for Y to one for X. Note that this is different than entropy in the presence, which roughly measures "how many" mmicrostates there are for Y which have a "lift" to X. We show in Appendix A using results of Popa in [38] as well as the main results of [8],[26] that this agrees with relative entropy when the group acting is an amenable. Note that, by the Appendix of [23], when the acting group is amenable entropy of Y in the presence of X is just the entropy of Y (and is thus *not* the relative entropy). Relative entropy is defined in Section 2 and its main properties are established. These properties allows us to define the *relative outer Pinsker factor of Y relative to Z in the presence of X* for extensions

$$G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu) \to G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta).$$

We then proceed to follow the methods of Glasner-Thouvenot-Weiss in [19] to prove our Pinsker product formula. We make a minor modification to these methods by noting that every action $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ can be written as a factor of an action with a large automorphisms group (basically by taking $Y^{\mathbb{Z}}$) and that this action is strongly sofic if $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is. Strong soficity ends up being crucial in this argument in the following way: if $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is strongly sofic and $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$ is a factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ then the entropy of $G \curvearrowright (X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu)$ relative to $G \curvearrowright (Z \times Y, \zeta \otimes \nu)$ (in the presence of $X \times Y$) is the entropy of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ relative to $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$. This is the crucial step (along with the construction of an extension with large automorphism group) in the argument that the Pinsker factor of $X \times Y$ relative to Y is the Pinsker factor of X times Y.

We make some brief comments on the organization of the paper. In Section 2 we define relative entropy for actions of sofic groups and prove its basic properties. We also define the relative outer Pinsker algebra in this section. Because of the duality between factors and sigma-algebras this also defined the Pinsker algebra. We will prefer to (mostly) state the results in the paper in terms of sigma-algebras as it makes the results clearer and avoids any issues with the fact that the Pinsker factor is only well-defined up to isomorphism. In Section 3 we give some preliminaries on doubly quenched convergence and state the definition of strong soficity. In this section we also prove Theorem 1.2. We then prove that the entropy of $G \curvearrowright (X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu)$ relative to $G \curvearrowright (Z \times Y, \zeta \otimes \nu)$ is the entropy of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ relative to $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$ if $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is strongly sofic, which is a crucial step in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we follow the methods in [19] and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we give applications to actions on compact groups by automorphisms including the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Lewis Bowen and Brandon Seward for invaluable conversations. I would like to thank Brandon Seward for suggesting a major simplification of the proof of Lemma 4.2. Part of

this work was inspired by discussion at the "Measured Group Theory" conference at the Erwin Schrödinger Institute. I think the Erwin Schrödinger Insitute for its hospitality and provided a stimulating environment in which to work.

2. Relative Sofic Entropy

We begin by recalling the definition of a sofic group. For a set A and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we identify A^n with all functions $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to A$. For a finite set A, we use u_A for the uniform measure on A and if $A = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we use u_n instead of $u_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}$. We use S_n for the group of all bijections $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a countable, discrete group. A sequence of maps $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ is said to be a *sofic approximation* if:

- for every $g, h \in G$ we have $u_{d_i}(\{j : \sigma_i(g)\sigma_i(h)(j) = \sigma_i(gh)(j)\}) \to 1$,
- for every $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ we have $u_{d_i}(\{j : \sigma_i(g)(j) = j\}) \to 1$.

We say that G is *sofic* if it has a sofic approximation.

Examples of sofic groups include all amenable groups, all residually finite groups, all linear groups, all residually sofic groups and all locally sofic groups. The class of sofic groups is also closed under free products with amalgamation over amenable subgroups (see [37],[17],[38]).

Recall that if (X, μ) is a standard probability space and A is a measurable space, a measurable map $\alpha \colon X \to A$ is called an observable. We say that α is finite if A is a finite set. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a subalgebra (not necessarily a subsigma-algebra) of measurable subsets of X we say that α is $\mathcal{S}$-measurable if $\alpha^{-1}(\{a\}) \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $a \in A$. If G is a countable discrete group acting by probability measure-preserving transformations on X and $F \subseteq G$ is finite we define

$$\alpha^F \colon X \to A^F$$

by

$$\alpha^F(x)(h) = \alpha(h^{-1}x).$$

If $\sigma \colon G \to S_d$ is a function (not assumed to be a homomorphism) and $\phi \in A^d$, we define

$$(\phi^F_\sigma) \colon \{1, \ldots, d_i\} \to A^F$$

by

$$(\phi^F_\sigma)(j)(g) = \phi(\sigma(g)^{-1}(j)).$$

We will need to use norms on measure. If Ω is a Borel space and ν is a Borel measure on Ω we use $\|\nu\|$ for the total variation norm of ν. We typically need this when Ω is a finite set, in which case this norm is just the ℓ^1-norm of ν (with respect to the counting measure on Ω).

Definition 2.2. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Suppose that $\alpha \colon X \to A$ is a finite, measurable observable. For $\delta > 0$ and a finite $F \subseteq G$, we let $\mathrm{AP}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ be the set of all $\phi \colon \{1, \ldots, d_i\} \to A$ so that

$$\|(\phi^F_{\sigma_i})_*(u_{d_i}) - (\alpha^F)_*\mu\| < \delta.$$

Definition 2.3. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Let $\alpha \colon X \to A, \beta \colon X \to B, \gamma \colon X \to C$ be finite, measurable observables with $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta$. Let $\rho_A \colon C \to A, \rho_B \colon C \to B$ be such that $\rho_A(\gamma(x)) = \alpha(x), \rho_B(\gamma(x)) = \beta(x)$ for almost every $x \in X$. For $F \subseteq G$ finite, $\delta > 0$ set

$$\mathrm{AP}(\beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \rho_B \circ (\mathrm{AP}(\gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)).$$

Given $\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$, set

$$\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \{\rho_A \circ \phi : \phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i), \rho_B \circ \phi = \psi\}.$$

Define

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log \sup_{\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)} |\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|.$$

Definition 2.4. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be G-invariant subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that α is a finite $\mathcal{F}_1$-measurable observable and that β is a finite $\mathcal{F}_2$-measurable observable. Suppose that $\gamma\colon X \to C$ is a finite observable with $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta$. Define

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) = \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq G \text{ finite,} \\ \delta > 0}} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, F, \delta)$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}) = \inf_{\gamma} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma)$$

with the infimum over all finite measurable observables γ. We then define

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}) = \inf_{\beta} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}),$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}) = \sup_{\alpha} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}),$$

where the infimum is over all finite $\mathcal{F}_1$-measurable observables β and the supremum is over all finite $\mathcal{F}_2$-measurable observables α. We call $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X})$ the conditional sofic entropy of $\mathcal{F}_2$ given $\mathcal{F}_1$ in the presence of $\mathcal{X}$.

We will often blur the lines between subsigma-algebras and factors in the notation. Thus if Y is the factor corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_2$ we will often write $h_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mathcal{F}_1|Y : X)$ for $h_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X})$.

Let us recall the usual definition of relative entropy of observables. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $\alpha\colon X \to A$, $\beta\colon X \to B$ two finite measurable observables. The relative entropy of α given β, denoted $H(\alpha|\beta)$ is defined by

$$H(\alpha|\beta) = -\sum_{a \in A, b \in B} \mu(\alpha^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap \beta^{-1}(\{b\})) \log\left(\frac{\mu(\alpha^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap \beta^{-1}(\{b\}))}{\mu(\beta^{-1}(\{b\}))}\right).$$

The above formula is an information theoretic definition of relative entropy. The following proposition, which is a straightforward application of Stirling's formula, shows that relative entropy can also be thought of as a statistical mechanics quantity: it measures how many approximations of $\alpha \vee \beta$ there are which extend any given approximation of β.

Proposition 2.5. *Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $\alpha\colon X \to A$, $\beta\colon X \to B$ two finite, measurable observables. Let $\rho_A\colon A \times B \to A$, $\rho_B\colon A \times B \to B$ be the projection maps $\rho_A(a, b) = a$, $\rho_B(a, b) = b$. For $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Xi(\alpha \vee \beta, \delta, n)$ be the set of all $\phi \in (A \times B)^n$ so that*

$$\|(\phi_*)(u_n) - (\alpha \vee \beta)_*\mu\| < \delta.$$

For $\psi \in B^n$ let

$$\Xi(\alpha|\psi, \delta, n) = \{\phi \in A^n : (\phi, \psi) \in \Xi(\alpha \vee \beta, \delta, n)\}.$$

Then

$$H(\alpha|\beta) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\psi \in \rho_B \circ \Xi(\alpha \vee \beta, \delta, n)} \frac{1}{n} \log |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\psi, \delta, n)|,$$

$$H(\alpha|\beta) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\psi \in \rho_B \circ \Xi(\alpha \vee \beta, \delta, n)} \frac{1}{n} \log |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\psi, \delta, n)|.$$

We prove some easy properties of conditional sofic entropy.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Fix finite, measurable observables $\alpha\colon X \to A$, $\beta\colon X \to B$, $\gamma\colon X \to C$ with $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta$. We have the following properties of relative sofic entropy.*

(i) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) \leq H(\alpha|\beta)$,

(ii) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha'|\beta : \gamma) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\alpha' : \gamma)$ *if $\alpha'\colon X \to A'$ is a finite, measurable observable and $\gamma \geq \alpha' \vee \beta$,*

(iii) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\gamma) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta':\gamma) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\beta'|\beta:\gamma)$ *if* $\beta'\colon X \to B'$ *is a finite, measurable observable and* $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta'$.

(iv) If $\alpha_0\colon X \to A$ *is a measurable observable with* $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha$*, then for any* $g \in G$ *we have:*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee g\alpha_0|\beta:\mathcal{X}) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\mathcal{X}).$$

(v) If $\beta_0\colon X \to B$ *is a measurable observable with* $\beta_0 \leq \beta$*, then for any* $g \in G$ *we have:*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\mathcal{X}) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta \vee g\beta_0:\mathcal{X}).$$

Proof. Item (i) is a direct application of Proposition 2.5.

(ii): Let $\rho_A\colon C \to A$, $\rho_{A'}\colon C \to A'\rho_B\colon C \to B$ be such that

$$\rho_A(\gamma(x)) = \alpha(x), \rho_{A'}(\gamma(x)) = \alpha'(x), \rho_B(\gamma(x)) = \beta(x)$$

for almost every $x \in X$. Fix finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$, and let $\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$. We have that

$$|\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)| \leq \sum_{\phi' \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha'|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)} |\{\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i) : (\phi,\phi') \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \vee \alpha'|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)\}|.$$

Observe that if $(\phi,\phi') \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \vee \alpha'|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$, then in particular $(\phi,\phi') \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \vee \alpha':\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$ and so $\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi':\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$. We thus see that

$$|\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)| \leq |\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha'|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)| \sup_{\widetilde{\phi}} |\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\widetilde{\phi}:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)|.$$

where the supremum is over all $\widetilde{\phi} \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha':\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$. Taking the supremum over ψ, applying $\frac{1}{d_i}\log$ to both sides and letting $i \to \infty$ proves that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\gamma,F,\delta) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha'|\beta:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\alpha':\gamma,F,\delta).$$

Now taking the infimum over F,δ completes the proof.

(iii): This is proved in the same way as (ii).

(iv): As $\alpha \leq \alpha \vee g\alpha_0$, we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\mathcal{X}) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee g\alpha_0|\beta:\mathcal{X}).$$

By (ii) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee g\alpha_0|\beta:\mathcal{X}) &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\mathcal{X}) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\alpha_0|\alpha:\mathcal{X}) \\ &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta:\mathcal{X}) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\alpha|\alpha:\mathcal{X}), \end{aligned}$$

so we only have to show that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\alpha|\alpha:\mathcal{X}) \leq 0.$$

To prove this, fix a finite, measurable observable $\gamma\colon X \to C$ with $\gamma \geq g\alpha \vee \alpha$. Suppose we are given finite $F \subseteq G$ with $g \in F$ and a $\delta \in (0,1/2)$. Let $\rho_A\colon C \to A$, $\rho_A^g\colon C \to A$ be such that $\rho_A \circ \gamma = \alpha$, $\rho_A^g \circ \gamma = g\alpha$. Fix a $\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha:\gamma;F,\delta,\sigma_i)$ be given. Suppose we are given a $\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$ and that $\rho_A \circ \phi = \psi$. Set $\tau = \rho_A^g \circ \phi$, we start by estimating the size of

$$u_{d_i}(\{j : \tau(j) \neq \psi(\sigma_i(g)^{-1}(j))\}).$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{d_i}(\{j : \tau(j) \neq \psi(\sigma_i(g)^{-1}(j))\}) &= u_{d_i}(\{j : \rho_A^g(\phi_{\sigma_i}^F(j)(e)) \neq \rho_A(\psi_{\sigma_i}^F(j)(g))\}) \\ &\leq \delta + \mu(\{x : \rho_A^g(\gamma^F(x)(e)) \neq \rho_A(\gamma^F(x)(g))\}) \\ &= \delta + \mu(\{x : \rho_A^g(\gamma(x)) \neq \rho_A(\gamma(g^{-1}x))\}) \\ &= \delta + \mu(\{x : (g\alpha)(x) \neq \alpha(g^{-1}x)\}) \\ &= \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, given ψ, we can determine τ on a subset of $\{1,\dots,d_i\}$ of size at least $(1-\delta)d_i$. The number of subset of size at most δd_i is at most

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor \delta d_i \rfloor} \binom{d_i}{r} \leq \delta d_i \binom{d_i}{\lfloor \delta d_i \rfloor},$$

as $\delta < 1/2$. Thus

$$|\operatorname{AP}(g\alpha|\psi; F, \delta, \sigma_i)| \leq \delta d_i \binom{d_i}{\lfloor \delta d_i \rfloor} |A|^{\delta d_i},$$

as ψ was arbitrary we find that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\alpha|\alpha; F, \delta : \gamma) &\leq \delta \log|A| + \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log \binom{d_i}{\lfloor \delta d_i \rfloor} \\ &= \delta \log|A| - \delta\log(\delta) - (1-\delta)\log(1-\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$ proves that and taking the infimum over F, δ, γ shows that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\alpha|\alpha : \mathcal{X}) \leq 0.$$

(v): It is clear that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta \vee g\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta)$. By (iii) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}) &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta \vee g\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\beta_0|\beta : \mathcal{X}) \\ &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta \vee g\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\beta|\beta : \mathcal{X}). \end{aligned}$$

We saw in the last step that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(g\beta|\beta : \mathcal{X}) \leq 0$ and so this completes the proof.

□

From Proposition 2.6 one can directly show the following.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Fix G-invariant sigma-algebras $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. We have the following properties of conditional sofic entropy.*

(i) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_2 : X) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1 : X) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_2|\mathcal{F}_1 : X)$,

(ii) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1 : X) \leq H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1)$, *for any finite $\mathcal{X}$-measurable observable α,*

(iii) $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1 : X) \leq H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_2|\mathcal{F}_1 : X)$, *for any finite $\mathcal{X}$-measurable observable α.*

We can now show that relative entropy can be computed by restricting our observables to live in a generating subalgebra.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be subalgebras (not necessarily sigma-algebras) of $\mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be the smallest, G-invariant, complete, sub-sigma algebras of $\mathcal{X}$ containing $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ is a sub-sigma algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ so that $\mathcal{X}$ is the smallest G-invariant, complete, sub-sigma algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ containing $\mathcal{T}$. Then*

(i) for any $\mathcal{T}$-measurable observables α, β we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}) = \inf_{\gamma} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma),$$

where the infimum is over all $\mathcal{T}$-measurable observable γ with $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta$.

(ii) For any $\mathcal{T}$-measurable observable α we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}) = \inf_{\beta} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}),$$

where the infimum is over all $\mathcal{S}_2$ measurable observables.

(iii) We have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}) = \sup_{\alpha} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}),$$

where the infimum is over all $\mathcal{S}_1$-measurable observables α.

Proof. (i): Fix a measurable observable $\gamma' \colon X \to C'$, a finite $F' \subseteq G$ and a $\delta' > 0$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is the smallest, G-invariant, complete, subsigma-algebra containing $\mathcal{T}$, we may find a $\mathcal{T}$-measurable observable $\gamma_0 \colon X \to C_0$, a finite $F \subseteq G$ and a $\delta > 0$ so that for all large i,

$$\mathrm{AP}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma_0, F, \delta, \sigma_i) \subseteq \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma', F', \delta', \sigma_i).$$

Thus for every $\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta : \gamma_0, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ we have for all large i,

$$\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi : \gamma_0, F, \delta, \sigma_i) \subseteq \mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi : \gamma', F', \delta', \sigma_i).$$

Thus

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma_0) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma_0, F, \delta, \sigma_i) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma', F', \delta', \sigma_i).$$

So

$$\inf_{\gamma} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma', F', \delta', \sigma_i),$$

where the infimum is over all finite $\mathcal{T}$-measurable observables $\gamma \colon X \to C$. Infimizing over γ', F', δ' proves (i).

(ii): It is clear that

$$\inf_{\beta} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}) \geq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X})$$

where the infimum is over all $\mathcal{S}_2$-measurable observables β. To prove the reverse inequality, fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite $\mathcal{F}_2$-measurable observable $\beta' \colon X \to B'$. We may find a finite $F \subseteq G$ with $e \in F$ and a $\mathcal{S}_2$-measurable observable $\beta_0 \colon X \to B_0$ such that

$$H\left(\beta' \middle| \bigvee_{g \in F} g\beta_0\right) < \varepsilon.$$

We then have by Proposition 2.7

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta' : \mathcal{X}) \geq -\varepsilon + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}\left(\alpha \middle| \bigvee_{g \in F} g\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}\right).$$

By repeated applications of Proposition 2.6 (iv) it follows that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}\left(\alpha \middle| \bigvee_{g \in F} g\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}\right) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}).$$

So

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta' : \mathcal{X}) \geq -\varepsilon + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta_0 : \mathcal{X}) \geq -\varepsilon + \inf_{\beta} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \mathcal{X}),$$

where the infimum is over all $\mathcal{S}_2$-measurable observables β. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and taking the infimum over β' completes the proof.

(iii): This is proved in the same way as (ii) using Proposition (v) .

□

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ and let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Fix a G-invariant subsigma-algebra $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. We then have the following.*

(i) If $\mathcal{G}_j, j = 1, 2$ are two G-invariant subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$, then

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_1 \vee \mathcal{G}_2|\mathcal{F} : X) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_1|\mathcal{F} : X) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_2|\mathcal{F} : X).$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{G}_n$ are an increasing sequence of subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is the sigma-algebra generated by their union, then

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F} : X) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_n|\mathcal{F} : X).$$

(iii) If $\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}$ are G-invariant subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{F}' \supseteq \mathcal{F}$, then

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{X}) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}).$$

(iv) If $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ are G-invariant subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{G}' \supseteq \mathcal{G}$, then

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}'|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}).$$

Proof. (i). Fix finite $\mathcal{G}_j$-measurable observables α_j for $j = 1, 2$. Let β be a finite $\mathcal{F}$-measurable observable and γ a finite $\mathcal{X}$-measurable observable so that $\gamma \geq \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2|\beta : \gamma) &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha_1|\beta : \gamma) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha_2|\beta : \gamma) \\ &\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha_1|\beta : \mathcal{X}) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha_2|\beta : \mathcal{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the $\mathcal{G}_1 \vee \mathcal{G}_2$ is generated by the set of all observables of the form $\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$ where $\alpha_j, j = 1, 2$ is any finite, $\mathcal{G}_j$-measurable observable, the proof is completed by invoking Proposition 2.8 (iii).

(ii). Suppose α is a finite $\mathcal{G}_n$-measurable observable, then

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F} : X) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_n|\mathcal{F} : X) + H(\alpha|\mathcal{G}_n).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we see that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\mathcal{F} : X) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{G}_n|\mathcal{F} : X).$$

Now take the supremum over α completes the proof (again using Proposition 2.8 (iii)).

(iii) and (iv). This is an exercise in understanding the definitions.

□

Having established these general properties of relative entropy, we can show the existence of the relative Pinsker factor.

Corollary 2.10. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ and let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Fix a G-invariant subsigma-algebra $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Let*

$$\Pi = \{A \in \mathcal{X} : h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\chi_A|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) \leq 0\}$$

(noting that χ_A is a map $X \to \{0, 1\}$). Then Π is the unique, complete, maximal, G-invariant subsigma-algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ containing $\mathcal{F}$ with

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\Pi|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) \leq 0.$$

Proof. By monotonicity in the first variable of relative entropy in the presence, it is enough to show that Π is a G-invariant subsigma-algebra containing $\mathcal{F}$ and that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\Pi|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) = 0$. Given $A \in \mathcal{X}$, let S_A be the smallest G-invariant subsigma-algebra generated of $\mathcal{X}$ containing A. By Proposition 2.8

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\chi_A|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(S_A|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}).$$

From this is it easy to derive that Π is a G-invariant subsigma-algebra containing $\mathcal{F}$ as follows. First, the fact that Π is closed under complements and that $\Pi \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ is tautological. The fact that Π is G-invariant follows from the fact that $S_A = S_{gA}$ for $g \in G$. Lastly, suppose that $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets in Π, let

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

and observe that

$$S_A \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{A_n}.$$

It thus from Proposition 2.9 (ii) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(S_A|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} S_{A_j}|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(S_{A_j}|\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have to show that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\Pi|\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{X})=0$. By standardness, we can find a countable collection of sets $A_n\in\Pi$ so that $\Pi=\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{A_n}$. Using 2.9 (ii) again shows that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\Pi|\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{X})=0$.

□

We will call Π defined in Corollary 2.10 the Pinsker sigma-algebra of X relative to $\mathcal{F}$. Note that if $\mathcal{F}=\{A\subseteq X:\mu(X\setminus A)=0 \text{ or } \mu(A)=0\}$, then Π coincides with the outer Pinsker sigma-algebra which we will denote by $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu)$. We denote the Pinsker sigma-algebra of X relative to $\mathcal{F}$ by $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})$. If $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu)$ is the algebra of sets which are null or conull, then we say that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ has *completely positive measure entropy in the presence*. The following are some of the most important properties of the outer Pinsker algebra.

Proposition 2.11. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$, let $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ be a probability measure-preserving action with $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ standard.*

(i) Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ is a factor of $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ and identify $\mathcal{Y}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$. Then, for any complete, G-invariant, subsigma-algebra $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{Y}$ we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu|\mathcal{F})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F}).$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{G}$ are G-invariant, complete, subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$, then

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{G}).$$

Proof. (i): Let $A\in\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu|\mathcal{F})$. Then

$$h_{(\sigma)_i,\mu}(\chi_A|\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{X})\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\chi_A|\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{Y})\leq 0,$$

so $A\in\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})$.

(ii): This is obvious from Proposition 2.9 (iii).

□

We end this section by comparing relative entropy to relative Rokhlin entropy as defined by Seward. Let G be a countable, discrete group and $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action with $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ standard. If $\mathcal{F}_2,\mathcal{F}_1$ are two complete, G-invariant, subsigma-algebras of $\mathcal{X}$ then Seward in [41] (see the remarks after Question 11.1 of [41]) defined the outer Rokhlin entropy of $\mathcal{F}_2$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_1$ by

$$h^G_{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},\mu)=\inf\{H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2)\},$$

where the infimum is over all finite measurable observables $\alpha\colon X\to A$ such that the sigma-algebra generated by $\{g\alpha^{-1}(\{a\}):g\in G\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ contains $\mathcal{F}_1$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$ and let $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ be a standard probability space with $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. For any complete, G-invariant, subsigma-algebras $\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2\subseteq\mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},G)\leq h^G_{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},\mu).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha\colon X\to A$ be a finite measurable observables, let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the smallest complete, G-invariant subsigma-algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ which makes α measurable and suppose that $\mathcal{Y}\vee\mathcal{F}_2\supseteq\mathcal{F}_1$. Then by Proposition 2.9 (iv)

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},G)\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{Y}\vee\mathcal{F}_2|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},G)\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},G)$$

where in the last step we use Proposition 2.7 (i). By Proposition 2.8 (iii) and Proposition 2.7 (ii) we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{X},G)\leq H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2).$$

Taking the infimum over all α completes the proof.

□

3. Preliminaries on Doubly Quenched Convergence

In order to prove our product formula for Pinsker factors, we will need the notion of doubly quenched convergence defined in [3]. We will use the reformulation given in [20]. For a compact, metrizable space X we use $\mathrm{Prob}(X)$ for the space of Borel probability measures on X. If $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms we let $\mathrm{Prob}_G(X)$ be the space of G-invariant elements of $\mathrm{Prob}(X)$. We begin by recalling the microstates space for measure-theoretic entropy in terms of a given compact model.

Suppose we are given a countable, discrete group G and a compact, metrizable space X with $G \curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms. A pseudometric ρ on X is said to be dynamically generating if for all $x \neq y$ with $x, y \in X$ there is a $g \in G$ with $\rho(gx, gy) > 0$. If ρ is as above and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define ρ_2 on X^n by

$$\rho_2(x, y)^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho(x(j), y(j))^2.$$

Definition 3.1. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Suppose that X is a compact, metrizable space with $G \curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms and let ρ be a dynamically generating pseudometric. For finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we let $\mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ be the set of all $\phi \in X^{d_i}$ so that

$$\rho_2(g\phi, \phi \circ \sigma_i(g)) < \delta.$$

We think of $\mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ as a space of "topological microstates" in the sense that $\phi \in \mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ gives a finitary model of $G \curvearrowright X$ (i.e. it is approximately equivariant with approximate being measured in the topology on X). For a finite $L \subseteq C(X)$ and $\delta > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}(X)$ set

$$U_{L,\delta}(\mu) = \bigcap_{f \in L} \left\{ \nu \in \mathrm{Prob}(X) : \left| \int_X f \, d\mu - \int_X f \, d\nu \right| < \delta \right\}.$$

Note that $U_{L,\delta}(\mu)$ ranging over all L, δ gives a basis of neighborhoods of μ in the weak-* topology on $\mathrm{Prob}(X)$.

Definition 3.2. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Suppose that X is a compact, metrizable space with $G \curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms and let ρ be a dynamically generating pseudometric. For finite $F \subseteq G, L \subseteq C(X)$ and $\delta > 0$, set

$$\mathrm{Map}_\mu(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma_i) : \phi_*(u_{d_i}) \in U_{L,\delta}(\mu)\}.$$

We think of $\mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i)$ as a space of "measure-theoretic microstates" for $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$.

Definition 3.3. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable space with $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms and fix a $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X)$. We say that a sequence $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ *quenched converges to* μ and write $\mu_i \to^q \mu$ if for some dynamically generating pseudometric ρ on X we have:

- $u_{d_i}\left(\{j : \left|\int_{X^{d_i}} f(x(j)) \, d\mu_i(x) - \int_X f \, d\mu\right| < \kappa\}\right) \to 1$ for all $f \in C(X), \kappa > 0$,
- $\mu_i(\mathrm{Map}_\mu(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i)) \to 1$ for all finite $F \subseteq G, L \subseteq C(X)$ and $\delta > 0$.

We say that μ_i *doubly quenched converges to* μ and write $\mu_i \to^{dq} \mu$ if $\mu_i \otimes \mu_i \to^q \mu \otimes \mu$.

In [20] we equated this notion of quenched convergence to the original one defined by Austin in [3]. It thus follows from the results in [3] that the notion of doubly quenched convergence does not depend upon the choice of dynamically generating pseudometric.

Definition 3.4. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space with $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. We say that $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is *strongly sofic* if there is some compact model $G\curvearrowright(Y, \nu)$ for $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ and a sequence $\nu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(Y^{d_i})$ with $\nu_i \to^{dq} \nu$.

Additionally, the results of [3] imply that quenched convergence is independent of the choice of topological model. Thus in the preceding definition we may replace "some compact model" with "for any compact model."

We mention that by work of [7],[21],[18] we have many examples of strongly sofic actions (these are all proved in Proposition 2.15 of [20] so we will not repeat the proof). Recall that if G is a countable discrete

group, then an algebraic action of G is an action $G \curvearrowright X$ by automorphisms where X is a compact, metrizable, abelian group.

Example 1. Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. The following actions are all strongly sofic.

(1) All Bernoulli actions (by [7],[3]),

(2) any algebraic action of the form $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ where $f \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ is such that $\lambda(f)$ has dense image, (by [21])

(3) any algebraic action of the form $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ where $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ is such that $\lambda(f)$ is injective,(by [21])

(4) any action of the form $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ where X is a profinite group, and $G \curvearrowright X$ by automorphisms and the homoclinic group of $G \curvearrowright X$ is dense (by [18]).

We prove a few permanence properties of strong soficity. For the proofs, it will be useful to phrase doubly quenched convergence in functional analytic terms, for which we introduce some notation. Given a group G and a compact, metrizable space X, with $G \curvearrowright X$ and $f \in C(X)$ we define $a_g(f) \in C(X)$ by $a_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. If $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}(X)$ and $f \in C(X)$ we will often use $\mu(f)$ for $\int_X f \, d\mu$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, we define $\iota_j \colon C(X) \to C(X^k)$ by $\iota_j(f)(x) = f(x(j))$. If X, Y are compact spaces and $f \in C(X), g \in C(Y)$ we define $f \otimes g \in C(X \times Y)$ by $(f \otimes g)(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$. Lastly, for an integer k we identify $(X \times X)^k$ with $X^k \times X^k$ in the natural way. With this notation, we can now phrase our functional analytic reformulation of doubly quenched convergence.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable space with $G \curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms and fix a $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X)$. A sequence $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ doubly quenched converges to $\mu \in C(X)$ if and only if:*

- $\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} |\mu_i(\iota_j(f)) - \mu(f)|^2 \to 0$, *for all* $f \in C(X)$,
- $\mu_i \otimes \mu_i \left(\left| \mu(f_1)\mu(f_2) - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(f_1) \otimes \iota_j(f_2) \right|^2 \right) \to 0$, *for all* $f_1, f_2 \in C(X)$
- $\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \mu_i(|\iota_j(a_g(f)) - \iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(f)|^2) \to 0$, *for all* $f \in C(X), g \in G$.

Proof. It is clear that if $\mu_i \to^{dq} \mu$, then the three items in the proposition hold. Conversely, suppose that the three items in the proposition hold and fix a compatible metric ρ on X. Define a metric $\widetilde{\rho}$ on $X \times X$ by

$$\widetilde{\rho}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))^2 = \frac{\rho(x_1, y_1)^2 + \rho(x_2, y_2)^2}{2}.$$

The first two items imply (by density of $\mathrm{span}\{f_1 \otimes f_2 : f_1, f_2 \in C(X)\}$ in $C(X \times X)$) that

$$u_{d_i} \left(\left\{ j : \left| \int_{X^{d_i} \times X^{d_i}} f(x(j), y(j)) \, d(\mu_i \otimes \mu_i)(x, y) - \int_{X \times X} f \, d(\mu \otimes \mu) \right| < \kappa \right\} \right) \to 1, \text{ for all } f \in C(X \times X),$$

$$\mu_i \otimes \mu_i \left(\left\{ (x, y) : \left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} f(x(j), y(j)) - \int_{X \times X} f \, d(\mu \otimes \mu) \right| < \kappa \right\} \right) \to 1 \text{ for all } f \in C(X \times X).$$

Hence it suffices to show that for all $g \in G$

$$\int_{X^{d_i} \times X^{d_i}} \widetilde{\rho}_2((g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y), (x \circ \sigma_i(g)^{-1}, y \circ \sigma_i(g)^{-1}))^2 \, d(\mu_i \otimes \mu_i)(x, y) \to 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_{X^{d_i}} \rho_2(g^{-1}x, x \circ \sigma_i(g)^{-1})^2 \, d\mu_i(x) \to 0.$$

Following the arguments of Lemma A.1 of [23], we see that the third item in the proposition implies the above convergence.

□

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let (X,μ) be a standard probability space and $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ a measure-preserving action. If $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is an inverse limit of probability measure-preserving actions each of which have are strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$, then $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is an inverse limit of actions $G\curvearrowright(X_n,\mu_n)$ which are strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X and each X_n are compact metrizable, that the actions $G\curvearrowright X, G\curvearrowright X_n$ are by homeomorphisms with $\mu\in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X), \mu_n\in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X_n)$ and that the factor maps $X\to X_n$, $X_m\to X_n$ for $n\geq m$ are continuous. Via the factor maps, we regard $C(X_n)\subseteq C(X_{n+1})\subseteq C(X_{n+2})\subseteq\cdots C(X)$. We now proceed to show strong soficity using Proposition 3.5.

Let $L_n\subseteq C(X_n)$ be an increasing sequence of finite sets so that for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$C(X_k)=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(X_k)\cap L_n}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Let F_n be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of G whose union is G. Let $\mu_{n,i}\in \mathrm{Prob}(X_n^{d_i})$ be such that $\mu_{n,i}\to^{dq}\mu_n$ as $i\to\infty$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we may find a $\widetilde{\mu}_{n,i}\in\mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ so that $\widetilde{\mu}_{n,i}\big|_{C(X_n)}=\mu_{n,i}$.

We may find a strictly increasing sequence of integers i_n so that

- $\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}|\mu_{n,i}(\iota_j(f))-\mu_n(f)|^2\leq 2^{-n}$ for all $i\geq i_n, f\in L_n$,
- $\mu_{n,i}\otimes\mu_{n,i}\left(\left|\mu_n(f_1)\mu_n(f_2)-\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}\iota_j(f_1)\otimes\iota_j(f_2)\right|^2\right)\leq 2^{-n}$ for all $f_1,f_2\in L_n, i\geq i_n$,
- $\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}\mu_{n,i}(|\iota_j(a_g(f)-\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(f)|^2)\leq 2^{-n}$ for all $i\geq i_n, f\in L_n, g\in F_n$.

Given $i\in\mathbb{N}$, let $n(i)\in\mathbb{N}$ be defined by $i_{n(i)}\leq i<i_{n(i)+1}$. We now define $\mu_i\in\mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$, by $\mu_i=\widetilde{\mu}_{n(i),i}$. It is now straightforward to check that

- $\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}|\mu_i(\iota_j(f))-\mu(f)|^2\to 0$ for all $f\in\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}L_n$,
- $\mu_i\otimes\mu_i\left(\left|\mu(f_1)\mu(f_2)-\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}\iota_j(f_1)\otimes\iota_j(f_2)\right|^2\right)\to 0$ for all $f_1,f_2\in\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}L_n$,
- $\frac{1}{d_i}\sum_{j=1}^{d_i}\mu_i(|\iota_j(a_g(f))-\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(f)|^2)\to 0$ for all $f\in\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}L_n$, $g\in G$.

Since

$$C(X)=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}C(X_n)}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

we know that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}L_n$ is dense in $C(X)$ and the proposition now follows by a standard approximation argument.

□

We can also prove that strong soficity is stable under weak containment. Let us briefly recall the definition.

Definition 3.7. Let G be a countable discrete group and $(X,\mu),(Y,\nu)$ standard probability spaces with $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu), G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ measure-preserving actions. We say that $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ is *weakly contained* in $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ if for every finite measurable observable $\beta\colon Y\to B$, for every finite $F\subseteq G$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there is a finite measurable observable $\widetilde{\beta}\colon X\to B$ so that

$$\|(\beta^F)_*\nu-(\widetilde{\beta}^F)_*\mu\|_2<\varepsilon.$$

For the proof of the next theorem, we use tracial ultraproducts of C^*-algebras and the ultraproduct formulation of weak containment as developed by Aaserud-Popa in [1].

Definition 3.8. Let A be a C^*-algebra. A *tracial state* on A is a linear functional $\tau\colon A\to\mathbb{C}$ so that

- $\tau(a^*a)\geq 0$ if $a\in A$,
- $\tau(1)=1$,
- $\tau(ab)=\tau(ba)$ for all $a,b\in A$.

We say that τ is faithful if $\tau(a^*a) = 0$ implies that $a = 0$.

The main example of relevance for us is $A = C(X)$ for some compact, metrizable space X. In this case a tracial state is just a Borel probability measure on X. Except for in the appendix, we will just need this example and ultraproducts.

Definition 3.9. Let A_n be C^*-algebras equipped with tracial states $\tau_n \colon A_n \to \mathbb{C}$. For a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$, let

$$A = \frac{\{(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty : a_n \in A_n, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}}{\{(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty : a_n \in A_n, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty, \lim_{n\to\omega} \tau_n(a_n^* a_n) = 0\}}.$$

If $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of elements with $a_n \in A_n, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty$, we let $(a_n)_{n\to\omega}$ be the image in A under the quotient map. Define $\tau \colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tau((a_n)_{n\to\omega}) = \lim_{n\to\omega} \tau_n(a_n).$$

The pair (A, τ) is called the *tracial ultraproduct of* $(A_n, \tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. We use the notation

$$\prod_{n\to\omega} (A_n, \tau_n)$$

to denote the tracial ultraproduct of $(A_n, \tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

One remark on the definition. Suppose that $(A_n, \tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are a sequence of C^*-algebras equipped with tracial states and let

$$(M_\omega, \tau_\omega) = \prod_{n\to\omega} (A_n, \tau_n).$$

We have an inner product on M_ω given by

$$\langle (a_n)_{n\to\omega}, (b_n)_{n\to\omega} \rangle = \lim_{n\to\omega} \tau_n(b_n^* a_n).$$

Let $L^2(M_\omega, \tau_\omega)$ be the completion of M_ω under this inner product. We can give M_ω a $*$-algebra structure with the operations being taken coordinate wise. Define

$$\lambda \colon M_\omega \to B(L^2(M_\omega, \tau_\omega))$$

by $\lambda((x_n)_{n\to\omega})((a_n)_{n\to\omega}) = (x_n a_n)_{n\to\omega}$. Then, it can be shown that $\lambda(M_\omega)$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B(L^2(M_\omega, \tau_\omega))$ (i.e. it is a weak operator topology closed $*$-subalgebra), that τ_ω is weak operator topology continuous and that $\tau_\omega(x^*x) = 0$ implies that $x = 0$ (see [11] Appendix A for all of this). Note if G is a countable group which acts by τ_n-preserving $*$-automorphisms $G \curvearrowright^{\alpha_n} A_n$, then we have an induced action α_ω on $\prod_{n\to\omega}(A_n, \tau_n)$ by

$$\alpha_\omega((a_n)_{n\to\omega}) = (\alpha_n(a_n))_{n\to\omega}.$$

In the situation where A_n is a fixed C^*-algebra A and $\tau_n = \tau$ is fixed, we shall use

$$(A^\omega, \tau^\omega) = \prod_{n\to\omega} (A, \tau).$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a probability measuring-preserving action which is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Let (Y, ν) be another standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a probability-measure preserving action which is weakly contained in $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. Then $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is strongly sofic.*

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will often abuse notation and identify a measure η with the linear functional of integration against η. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X, Y are compact, metrizable, that $G \curvearrowright X, G \curvearrowright Y$ by homeomorphisms and that $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X), \nu \in \mathrm{Prob}_G(Y)$. Fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. By weak containment, we have a G-equivariant $*$-homomorphism

$$\Phi \colon L^\infty(Y, \nu) \to L^\infty(X, \mu)^\omega$$

so that $\mu^\omega \circ \Phi = \nu$ (see [1]). Note that $L^\infty(X, \mu)^\omega = C(X)^\omega$ and so we have a $*$-homomorphism $\Phi\big|_{C(Y)} \colon C(Y) \to C(X)^\omega$. By the Choi-Effros lifting theorem, we may find a sequence $\Phi_n \colon C(Y) \to C(X)$ of positive (i.e. $\Phi_n(f) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$ in $C(Y)$), linear maps so that $\Phi_n(1) = 1$ and $\Phi(f) = (\Phi_n(f))_{n\to\omega}$. Note that this implies:
(a) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \mu(\Phi_n(f)) = \nu(f)$, for all $f \in C(Y)$,

(b) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|\Phi_n(f_1 f_2) - \Phi_n(f_1)\Phi_n(f_2)\|_2 = 0$ for all $f \in C(Y)$,

(c) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|a_g(\Phi_n(f)) - \Phi_n(a_g(f))\|_2 = 0$ for all $f \in C(Y)$.

By the Riesz-Representation theorem and positivity of Φ_n, we may find measures $\lambda_{n,x} \in \mathrm{Prob}(X)$ for $x \in X$, such that $x \mapsto \lambda_{n,x}$ is continuous in the weak*-topology and such that

$$\Phi_n(f)(x) = \int_Y f \, d\lambda_{n,x}.$$

For every k, define $\Phi_n^{\otimes k} \colon C(Y^k) \to C(X^k)$ by

$$\Phi_n^{\otimes k}(f)(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \int_{Y^k} f \, d(\lambda_{n,x_1} \otimes \lambda_{n,x_2} \otimes \cdots \lambda_{n,x_k}).$$

Let $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ be such that $\mu_i \to^{dq} \mu$. Since Φ_n is positive, the Riesz representation theorem implies that we may define $\nu_{n,i} \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ by $\nu_{n,i}(f) = \mu_i(\Phi_n^{\otimes d_i}(f))$. We claim that $\nu_{n,i}$ satisfies the following:

(i) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} |\nu_{n,i}(\iota_j(f)) - \nu(f)|^2 = 0$ for all $f \in C(Y)$,

(ii) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \nu_{n,i} \otimes \nu_{n,i} \left(\left| \nu(f_1)\nu(f_2) - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(f_1 \otimes f_2) \right|^2 \right) = 0$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in C(Y)$,

(iii) $\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \nu_{n,i}(|\iota_j(a_g(f)) - \iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(f)|^2) = 0$.

If we prove these three properties, then the theorem follows by a diagonal argument in a manner similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6 (using Proposition 3.5).

(Proof of (i)): For each fixed n, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} |\nu_{n,i}(\iota_j(f)) - \mu(\Phi_n(f))|^2 = 0,$$

since $\mu_i \to^{dq} \mu$. Thus

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} |\nu_{n,i}(\iota_j(f)) - \nu(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq |\mu(\Phi_n(f)) - \nu(f)|$$

and taking the limit along ω and using (a) proves (i).

(Proof of ii): Using that

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \nu_{n,i} \otimes \nu_{n,i} \left(\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(f_1 \otimes f_2) \right) - \nu(f_1)\nu(f_2) \right| = 0$$

and expanding

$$\left| \nu(f_1)\nu(f_2) - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(f_1) \otimes \iota_j(f_2) \right|^2$$

it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \nu_{n,i} \otimes \nu_{n,i} \left(\left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(f_1) \otimes \iota_j(f_2) \right|^2 \right) - |\nu(f_1)|^2 |\nu(f_2)|^2 \right| = 0.$$

Let $W_i = \{(j,k) \in \{1, \ldots, d_i\}^2 : j \neq k\}$. Since $u_{d_i} \otimes u_{d_i}(W_i) \to 1$, it is enough to show that

(1)

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \mu_i \otimes \mu_i \left(\frac{1}{d_i^2} \sum_{(j,k)\in W_i} \iota_j(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)}) \iota_k(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)}) \otimes \iota_j(\Phi_n(f_2)) \iota_k(\Phi_n(f_2)) \right) - |\nu(f_1)|^2 |\nu(|f_2)|^2 \right| = 0.$$

Expanding

$$\mu_i \otimes \mu_i \left(\left| \mu(\Phi_n(f_1))\mu(\Phi_n(f_2)) - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \iota_j(\Phi_n(f_1)) \otimes \iota_j(\Phi_n(f_2)) \right|^2 \right),$$

and noting that $\mu_i \otimes \mu_i \to^q \mu \otimes \mu$, we find that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i^2} \sum_{1\leq j,k\leq d_i} \mu_i \otimes \mu_i(\iota_j(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)})\iota_k(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)}) \otimes \iota_j(\Phi_n(f_2))\iota_k(\Phi_n(f_2)) = |\mu(\Phi_n(f_1))|^2|\mu(\Phi_n(f_2))|^2.$$

Using again that $u_{d_i} \otimes u_{d_i}(W_i) \to 1$ we have that

(2)

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \mu_i \otimes \mu_i \left(\frac{1}{d_i^2} \sum_{(j,k)\in W_i} \iota_j(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)})\iota_k(\overline{\Phi_n(f_1)}) \otimes \iota_j(\Phi_n(f_2)))\iota_k(\Phi_n(f_2)) \right) - |\mu(\Phi_n(f_1))|^2|\mu(|\Phi_n(f_2))|^2 \right| = 0.$$

Equation (1) now follows from the fact that $\lim_{n\to\omega} \mu(\Phi_n(f_1)) = \nu(f_1)$, $\lim_{n\to\omega} \mu(\Phi_n(f_2)) = \nu(f_2)$.

(Proof of iii):

It is enough to handle the case when $g \neq e$. Let V_i be the set of $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ for which $\sigma_i(g)(j) \neq j$. For $j \in V_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{n,i}(|\iota_j(a_g(f)) - \iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(f)|^2) = \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(|a_g(f)|^2))) - 2\operatorname{Re}(\mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\overline{\Phi_n(f)})))\\ + \mu_i(\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\Phi_n(|f|^2))).\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\lim_{i\to\infty} u_{d_i}(V_i) = 1$ and that $\lim_{n\to\omega} \mu(\Phi_n(|f|^2)) = \lim_{n\to\omega} \mu(\Phi_n(|a_g(f)|^2)) = \mu(f)$, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j\in V_i} \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\overline{\Phi_n(f)})) - \nu(|f|^2) \right| = 0. \tag{3}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i(|\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_j(\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))})) - \iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\overline{\Phi_n(f)})|)\\ \leq \|f\|\mu_i(|\iota_j(a_g(\Phi_n(f))) - \iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\Phi_n(f))|^2)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus using that $\mu_i \to^q \mu$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j\in V_i} \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_j(\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))})) - \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\overline{\Phi_n(f)})) \right| \leq\\ \|f\| \left(\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \mu_i(|\iota_j(a_g(\Phi_n(f))) - \iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\Phi_n(f))|^2) \right)^{1/2} \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j\in V_i} \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_{\sigma_i(g)(j)}(\overline{\Phi_n(f)})) - \nu(|f|^2) \right|\\ = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j\in V_i} \mu_i(\iota_j(\Phi_n(a_g(f)))\iota_j(\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))})) - \nu(|f|^2) \right|\\ \leq |\mu(\Phi_n(a_g(f))\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))}) - \nu(|f|^2)|.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu(\Phi_n(a_g(f))\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))}) - \nu(|f|^2)| &\leq |\mu(\Phi_n(a_g(|f|^2))) - \nu(a_g(|f|^2))| + \|\Phi_n(a_g(f))\overline{a_g(\Phi_n(f))} - \Phi_n(a_g(|f|^2))\|_2\\ &\leq |\mu(\Phi_n(a_g(|f|^2))) - \nu(a_g(|f|^2))| + \|f\|\|\Phi_n(a_g(f)) - a_g(\Phi_n(f))\|_2\\ &\quad + \|\Phi_n(a_g(f))\Phi_n(\overline{a_g(f)}) - \Phi_n(a_g(|f|^2))\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n\to\omega}$ and applying (a),(b), (c), proves that the right-hand side of (4) goes to zero, which shows (3) and this completes the proof.

□

If X, Y, A is a set and $\alpha\colon X \to A$, we define $\alpha \otimes 1\colon X \times Y \to A$ by $(\alpha \otimes 1)(x, y) = \alpha(x)$. Additionally for maps $\alpha\colon X \to A$, $\beta\colon Y \to B$ we define $\alpha \otimes \beta\colon X \times Y \to A \times B$ by $(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x, y) = (\alpha(x), \beta(y))$. Doubly quenched convergence has the following implication for relative entropy, which will be one of the crucial facts used in our proof of a Pinsker product formula.

Proposition 3.11. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G\curvearrowright(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ a measure-preserving action. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Suppose that $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ is a standard probability space and $G\curvearrowright(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ a measure-preserving action. Then, for any G-invariant, complete, subsigma-algebras $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ we have*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : Y) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X).$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.9 (iv) and (iii) that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : Y) \geq h_{(\sigma)_i,\nu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X).$$
$$h_{(\sigma)_i,\nu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X)$$

Additionally, we have by Proposition 2.9 (i)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X) &\leq h_{(\sigma)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X) \\ &\leq h_{(\sigma)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X). \end{aligned}$$

We thus only have to prove

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : Y) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X} : Y \times X),$$

For $j = 1, 2$ fix finite $\mathcal{F}_j$-measurable observables $\alpha_j\colon Y \to A_j$ and a $\mathcal{Y}$-measurable observable $\alpha\colon Y \to A$ with $\alpha \geq \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$. Let $\rho_{A_j}\colon A \to A_j$ be such that $\rho_{A_j} \circ \alpha = \alpha_j, j = 1, 2$ almost everywhere. Let $\beta\colon X \to B$, $\gamma\colon X \to C$ be measurable observables with $\beta \leq \gamma$. Let $q\colon C \to B$ be such that $q \circ \gamma = \beta$ almost everywhere. Define $\Delta_C\colon X \to C^G$ by

$$\Delta_C(x)(g) = \gamma(g^{-1}x)$$

and let $\eta = (\Delta_C)_*\mu$. Since $G\curvearrowright(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is strongly sofic, so is the factor (C^G, η) and so we may find an $\eta_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(C^{d_i})$ so that $\eta_i \to^{dq} \eta$.

Fix a finite $F' \subseteq G$ and a $\delta' > 0$. Since $\eta_i \to^{dq} \eta$, we may find, by Theorem A of [3], a finite $F \subseteq G$ and a $\delta > 0$ and an $I \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for $i \geq I$ and all $\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ we have

$$\eta_i(\{\psi : \phi \vee \psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \otimes \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now fix an $i \geq I$ and a $\phi_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$. Let

$$S \subseteq \{\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i) : \rho_{A_2} \circ \phi = \phi_2)\}$$

be such that $|S| = |\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1|\phi_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|$ and $\{\rho_{A_1} \circ \phi : \phi \in S\} = \mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1|\phi_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$. By our choice of F, δ we have by Fubini's theorem

$$u_S \otimes \eta_i(\{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \vee \psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \otimes \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Fubini's theorem again, we may find a $\psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)$ so that

$$|\{\phi \in S : \phi \vee \psi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha \otimes \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)\}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|S| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1|\phi_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|.$$

Set $\psi_B = q \circ \psi$, by construction we then have for all $i \geq I$

$$|\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1 \otimes 1|\phi_2 \vee \psi_B : \alpha \otimes \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1|\phi_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|$$

and so

$$\sup_{\phi_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)} \frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1|\phi_2 : \alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)| \leq \sup_{\omega \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha_2 \otimes \beta : \alpha_2 \otimes \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)} |\mathrm{AP}(\alpha_1 \otimes 1|\omega : \alpha_2 \otimes \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)|.$$

Thus

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu}(\alpha_1|\alpha_2:\alpha,F,\delta)\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\alpha_1\otimes 1|\alpha_2\otimes\beta:\alpha\otimes\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i).$$

A fortiori,

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu}(\alpha_1|\mathcal{F}_2:\mathcal{Y})\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\alpha_1\otimes 1|\alpha_2\otimes\beta:\alpha\otimes\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i).$$

Taking the infimum over all $\beta,\alpha_2,\alpha,\gamma,F',\delta'$ shows that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu}(\alpha_1|\mathcal{F}_2:Y)\leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\nu\otimes\mu}(\alpha_1\otimes 1|\mathcal{F}_2\otimes\mathcal{X}:Y\times X)$$

and taking the supremum over all α_1 completes the proof.

□

4. A Product Formula For Pinsker Factors

In this section, we prove a product formula for Pinsker factors of strongly sofic actions. We shall mostly follow the methods in [19] by analyzing actions with large automorphism group. By automorphism group we mean the following: if G is a countable discrete group and $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is a probability measure preserving action with (X,μ) standard, we let $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(X,\mu))$ be all measure-preserving transformations $\phi\colon X\to X$ so that $\phi(gx)=g\phi(x)$ for almost every $x\in X$ and all $g\in G$. The main new technique in this section is the content of Lemma 4.2. It turns out that this technique is good enough to remove the freeness assumption present in [19] without passing to orbit equivalence as in [15].

We need some notation for operators. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, we use $B(\mathcal{H})$ for the algebra of bounded, linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If (X,μ) is a standard probability space and $f\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ we define $m_f\in B(L^2(X,\mu))$ by $(m_f\xi)(x)=f(x)\xi(x)$. If (Y,ν) is another standard probability space and $T\in B(L^2(Y,\nu))$ we define $1\otimes T\in B(L^2(X\times Y,\mu\otimes\nu))$ by

$$(1\otimes T)(f)(x)=T(f(x))$$

where in the above formula we are using the identification $L^2(X\times Y,\mu\otimes\nu)\cong L^2(X,\mu,L^2(Y,\nu))$. The following argument follows closely that of Lemma 3.2 in [19].

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action with $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ standard and let $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$ be a complete, G-invariant subsigma-algebra of $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(Y,,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action on a standard probability space and that $G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Suppose further that $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu))$ acts ergodically on $(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$. Then*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})=\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Y}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z}=\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})$ and $U=\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})$. We claim that $U=\widehat{U}\otimes\mathcal{Y}$ for some sigma-algebra $\widehat{U}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$. Suppose we grant this claim. Then by Proposition 3.11,

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\widehat{U}|\mathcal{Z}:X)=h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu\otimes\nu}(\widehat{U}\otimes\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{Z}\otimes\mathcal{Y}:X\times Y)=0.$$

By definition of $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})$ this implies that $\widehat{U}\subseteq\mathcal{Z}$ and this proves the lemma. So it is enough to show that $U=\widehat{U}\otimes\mathcal{Y}$.

For this, consider the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_U$ as a projection operator

$$\mathbb{E}_U\colon L^2(X\times Y,\mu\otimes\nu)\to L^2(X\times Y,\mu\otimes\nu).$$

For $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ define a unitary operator $U_\alpha\colon L^2(Y,\nu)\to L^2(Y,\nu)$ by $(U_\alpha\xi)(y)=\xi(\alpha^{-1}y)$. Recall that if $E\subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$E'=\{T\in B(\mathcal{H}):TS=ST\text{ for all }S\in E\}.$$

We first show the following:

Claim 1: if $T\in B(L^2(Y,\nu))$ and $T\in(\{m_f:f\in L^\infty(Y,\nu)\}\cup\{U_\alpha:\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)\})'$ then $T\in\mathbb{C}1$.

To see this, first note that since $T\in\{m_f:f\in L^\infty(Y,\nu)\}'$, we have that $T=m_k$ for some $k\in L^\infty(Y,\nu)$ by Proposition 12.4 of [14]. As $U_\alpha^*m_kU_\alpha=m_{k\circ\alpha^{-1}}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ we see that $k=k\circ\alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$. By ergodicity of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ we see that $T\in\mathbb{C}1$. This shows Claim 1.

Note that we have

$$\mathbb{E}_U\in(\{1\otimes m_f:f\in L^\infty(Y,\nu)\}\cup\{1\otimes U_\alpha:\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))\})'.$$

By [43] Theorem IV.5.9, this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_U \in B(L^2(X,\mu))\overline{\otimes}(\{m_f : f \in L^\infty(Y,\nu)\} \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))\})' = B(L^2(X,\mu)) \otimes \mathbb{C}1,$$

the last equality following from Claim 1. This implies that $\mathbb{E}_U = P \otimes 1$ for some projection $P \in B(L^2(X,\mu))$. We now claim the following:

Claim 2: $P(L^\infty(X,\mu)) \subseteq L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and is a WOT-closed $*$-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Suppose we grant Claim 2. Let $\widehat{U}$ be the set of all measurable subsets A of X so that $\chi_A \in P(L^\infty(X,\mu))$. Since $P(L^\infty(X,\mu))$ is a weak operator topology closed $*$-subalgebra, it is not hard to show that $\widehat{U}$ is a complete sigma-algebra of sets. Moreover, by [14] 13.3 (e) we know that

$$P(L^\infty(X,\mu)) = \overline{\mathrm{span}\{\chi_A : A \in \widehat{U}\}}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty},$$

and from this it is easy to see that $P(L^\infty(X,\mu)) = L^\infty(X,\widehat{U},\mu)$. Since $P(L^\infty(X,\mu)) = L^\infty(X,\widehat{U},\mu)$, continuity of P implies that $P(L^2(X,\mu)) \subseteq L^2(X,\widehat{U},\mu)$. On the other hand, since P has closed image we have that

$$P(L^2(X,\mu)) \supseteq \overline{L^\infty(X,\widehat{U},\mu)}^{\|\cdot\|_2} = L^2(X,\widehat{U},\mu).$$

Thus we see that the image of P is $L^2(X,\widehat{U},\mu)$. So P is the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(X,\widehat{U},\mu)$ and this implies that $P = \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{U}}$. So $\mathbb{E}_U = \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{U}} \otimes 1$ and thus $U = \widehat{U} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ and this proves the lemma, so it is enough to prove Claim 2.

To prove Claim 2, first observe that for any $f \in L^\infty(Y,\nu)$ we have:

$$\|P(f)\|_\infty = \|P(f) \otimes 1\|_\infty = \|\mathbb{E}_U(f \otimes 1)\|_\infty \leq \|f \otimes 1\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty.$$

This implies that $P(L^\infty(X,\mu)) \subseteq L^\infty(X,\mu)$. For $f, k \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(P(f)P(k)) \otimes 1 = \mathbb{E}_U(P(f)P(k) \otimes 1) &= \mathbb{E}_U((P(f) \otimes 1)(P(k) \otimes 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_U(\mathbb{E}_U(f \otimes 1)\mathbb{E}_U(k \otimes 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_U(f \otimes 1)\mathbb{E}_U(k \otimes 1) \\ &= (P(f) \otimes 1)(P(k) \otimes 1) \\ &= (P(f)P(k) \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$P(\overline{f}) \otimes 1 = \mathbb{E}_U(\overline{f} \otimes 1) = \overline{\mathbb{E}_U(f \otimes 1)} = \overline{P(f)} \otimes 1.$$

So for $f, k \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$

$$P(P(f)P(k)) = P(f)P(k),\ P(\overline{f}) = \overline{P(f)}$$

so $P(L^\infty(X,\mu))$ is $*$-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. To see that $P(L^\infty(X,\mu))$ is WOT-closed, suppose that $f_\alpha \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ is a net with $P(f_\alpha) \to k \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ in the weak operator topology. Then, for any $\xi \in L^2(X,\mu)$ we have

$$\langle k, \xi\rangle = \langle k \cdot 1, \xi\rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle P(f_\alpha) \cdot 1, \xi\rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle P(f_\alpha), \xi\rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle P(f_\alpha), P(\xi)\rangle = \langle k, P(\xi)\rangle = \langle P(k), \xi\rangle.$$

Thus $k = P(k)$ so $k \in P(L^\infty(X,\mu))$ and this proves Claim 2.

□

We in fact wish to prove that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu \otimes \nu | \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{Y},$$

without assuming that $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ acts ergodically. We reduce the general claim to the above lemma by proving the following Lemma, which allows us to write every strongly sofic action as a factor of an action with ergodic automorphism group (and which is still strongly sofic).

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a countable discrete group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let (X,μ) be a standard probability space with $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Then there is a standard probability space (Y,ν) and a measure-preserving action $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ which factors onto $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ such that $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ acts on (Y,ν) ergodically.*

Proof. Let $(Y,\nu) = (X,\mu)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ diagonally. It is automatic from the definition that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)^n$ is strongly sofic with respect $(\sigma_i)_i$ for all n. By Proposition 3.6, $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Let $\mathbb{Z}\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ be the Bernoulli action. It is clear that $\mathbb{Z}\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ commutes with $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ and that $\mathbb{Z}\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ is ergodic. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ acts on (Y,ν) ergodically.

□

We now remove the assumption of having $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu))$ act ergodically from Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$. Let $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu), G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ be probability measure-preserving actions with $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu),(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ standard. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Then for any G-invariant, complete, subsigma-algebra $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$ we have:*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y}) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Y}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we may find an extension $G\curvearrowright(Z,\mathcal{Z},\zeta)\to G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ with $(Z,\mathcal{Z},\zeta)$ a standard probability space and the action by measure-preserving transformations such that $\mathrm{Aut}(G\curvearrowright(Z,\mathcal{Z},\zeta))$ acts on $(Z,\mathcal{Z},\zeta)$ ergodically and $G\curvearrowright(Z,\mathcal{Z},\zeta)$ is strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Regard $\mathcal{Y}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{Z}$, then by Proposition 2.11,

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\zeta|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\zeta|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Z}).$$

So

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Z},$$

by Lemma 4.1. Since $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\subseteq\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{Y}$ we have that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\subseteq(\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Z})\cap(\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{Y}) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Y}.$$

The reverse inclusion is trivial, so we are done.

□

From the above Theorem we automatically deduce our product formula for outer Pinsker factors.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$. Let $G\curvearrowright(X,\mathcal{X},\mu), G\curvearrowright(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ be probability measure-preserving actions with $(X,\mathcal{X},\mu),(Y,\mathcal{Y},\nu)$ standard. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu), G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ are strongly sofic with respect to $(\sigma_i)_i$. Then for any complete, G-invariant, subsigma-algebras $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{Y}$ we have*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu|\mathcal{G}).$$

In particular, taking $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$ to be the sigma-algebras of sets which are null or conull in X,Y we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu)\otimes\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.11

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G})\subseteq\Pi_{(\sigma_i)}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{Y})\cap\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{G}).$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu\otimes\nu|\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G})\subseteq(\Pi_{(\sigma_i)}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathcal{Y})\cap(\mathcal{X}\otimes\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu|\mathcal{G})) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\mu|\mathcal{F})\otimes\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(\nu|\mathcal{G}).$$

As the reverse inclusion is trivial, we are done.

□

5. Applications to Complete Positive Entropy of Algebraic Actions

In this section, we apply our product formula for Pinsker factors to the study of algebraic actions. Following arguments of [5],[13] we have the following description of the Pinsker factor for certain algebraic actions.

Corollary 5.1. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable group with $G\curvearrowright X$ by automorphisms. Suppose that $G\curvearrowright(X,m_X)$ is strongly sofic. Then there exists a closed, normal, G-invariant, subgroup Y of X so that*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X) = \{q_Y^{-1}(A) : A\subseteq X/Y \text{ is } m_{X/Y} \text{ measurable}\}$$

where $q_Y\colon X\to X/Y$ is the quotient map.

Proof. By [35] (see also [40] Lemma 20.4), it is enough to show that $x^{-1}\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X) \subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$ for all $x \in X$ and that $A^{-1} \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$ whenever $A \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$. Let us first show that $x^{-1}\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X) \subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$ for all $x \in X$. Fix $A \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$ and let

$$E = \{x \in X : x^{-1}A \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)\},$$

observe that E is closed in X, so it is enough to show that E is dense in X. Let $p\colon X \times X \to X$ be the multiplication map $p(x,y) = xy$. Then by Proposition 2.11,

$$p^{-1}(A) \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X \otimes m_X) = \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X) \otimes \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X),$$

where in the last equality we use Corollary 4.4. By Fubini's Theorem we have, for almost every $x \in X$, that $\chi_{x^{-1}A} = \chi_{p^{-1}(A)}(x,\cdot)$ is $\Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$-measurable. Thus for almost every $x \in X$ we have $x^{-1}A \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$, so E has full measure in X and is thus dense. A similar argument shows that $A^{-1} \in \Pi_{(\sigma_i)_i}(m_X)$ (by considering the map $x \to x^{-1}$).

□

For the next corollary we recall the definition of topological entropy in the presence due to Li-Liang in [31].

Definition 5.2 (Definition 9.3 in [31])**.** Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Suppose that X be a compact, metrizable space with $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms. Let $G\curvearrowright Y$ be a topological factor with factor map $\pi\colon X \to Y$. Let ρ_X, ρ_Y be dynamically generating pseudometrics on Y, X respectively. For a finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$\mathrm{Map}(Y : \rho_X, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \{\pi \circ \phi : \phi \in \mathrm{Map}(\rho_X, F, \delta, \sigma_i)\}.$$

Set

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, F, \delta, \varepsilon) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{Map}(Y : \rho_X, F, \delta, \sigma_i), \rho_{Y,2}),$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, \varepsilon) = \inf_{\substack{\text{finite} F \subseteq G,\\ \delta > 0}} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, F, \delta, \varepsilon),$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(Y : X, G) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, \varepsilon).$$

We call $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(Y : X, G)$ the *topological entropy of Y in the presence of X*.

If $Y = X$ with factor map id, then this is just the topological entropy. Let us also recall the formulation of measure-theoretic entropy in the presence in terms of a topological model we gave in [23].

Definition 5.3 (Definition 2.7 in [23])**.** Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Suppose that X be a compact, metrizable space with $G\curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms and that $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}_G(X)$. Let $G\curvearrowright Y$ be a topological factor with factor map $\pi\colon X \to Y$ and set $\nu = \pi_*\mu$. Suppose we are given dynamically generating pseudometric ρ_X, ρ_Y be dynamically generating pseudometrics on Y, X respectively. For finite $F \subseteq G, L \subseteq C(X)$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$\mathrm{Map}_\mu(Y : \rho_X, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i) = \{\pi \circ \phi : \phi \in \mathrm{Map}_\mu(\rho_X, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i)\}.$$

We define

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, F, L, \delta, \varepsilon) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{Map}_\mu(Y : \rho_X, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i), \rho_{Y,2}),$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, \varepsilon) = \inf_{\substack{\text{finite} F \subseteq G,\\ \text{finite} L \subseteq C(X),\\ \delta > 0}} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, F, L, \delta, \varepsilon),$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, G) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, \varepsilon).$$

By Theorem 2.10 of [23], we know that $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\rho_Y : \rho_X, G)$ agrees with the measure-theoretic entropy of $G\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ in the presence of $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$. Using this topological formulation, in [20] we showed that if X is a compact group and $G\curvearrowright(X, m_X)$ is strongly sofic, then for any closed, normal, G-invariant subgroup $Y \subseteq X$ we have $h_{\mathrm{top}}(X/Y : X, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,m_X}(X/Y : X, G)$. This and Corollary 5.1 will give us examples of algebraic actions with completely positive measure-theoretic entropy.

If G is a countable, discrete, sofic group and X is a compact, metrizable space with $G \curvearrowright X$ by homeomorphisms, we say that $G \curvearrowright X$ has *completely positive topological entropy in the presence* if for any topological factor $G \curvearrowright Y$ of $G \curvearrowright X$ we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(Y : X, G) > 0.$$

Recall that if (X, μ) is a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action, we say that $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has completely positive measure entropy in the presence if for every measure-theoretic factor $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ we have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(Y : X, G) > 0.$$

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be a countable, discrete group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable group with $G \curvearrowright X$ by continuous automorphisms. Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is strongly sofic. Then the following are equivalent*

(i) $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ has completely positive measure entropy in the presence,

(ii) $G \curvearrowright X$ has completely positive topological entropy in the presence,

(iii) for any closed, normal, G-invariant subgroup $Y \subseteq X$ with $Y \neq X$ we have $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(X/Y : X, G) > 0$.

Proof. The implications (i) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (iii) are clear from the definitions.

Suppose that (iii) holds. By Corollary 5.1 we may find a closed, normal, G-invariant subgroup Y of X so that the outer Pinsker factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is given by $G \curvearrowright (X/Y, m_{X/Y})$ (with the factor map being the natural homomorphism $X \to X/Y$). By Theorem 3.6 of [20] we have

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,m_X}(X/Y : X, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mathrm{top}}(X/Y : X, G).$$

By (iii) it follows that $Y = X$. Thus the outer Pinsker factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ is trivial and so $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ has completely positive measure entropy in the presence.

□

For the next corollary, we use the IE-tuples developed by Kerr-Li. See [28] for the definition. The following may be regarded as an analogue of Corollary 8.4 of [13].

Corollary 5.5. *Let G be a countable, discrete group with sofic approximation $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$. Let X be a compact, metrizable group with $G \curvearrowright X$ by continuous automorphisms. Suppose that there exists $\mu_i \in \mathrm{Prob}(X^{d_i})$ with $\mu_i \to^{dq} m_X$ and that $\mathrm{IE}^2_{(\sigma_i)_i}(X, G) = X^2$. Then $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$ has completely positive measure entropy in the presence.*

Proof. It is clear from the definitions that if $\mathrm{IE}^2_{(\sigma_i)_i}(X, G) = X^2$, then G has completely positive topological entropy in the presence.

□

Combining with our previous results in [22] we may produce a large class of algebraic actions with completely positive measure-theoretic entropy.

Corollary 5.6. *Let G be a countable, discrete, sofic group and $\sigma \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let $f \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ be such that $\lambda(f)$ is invertible, but $f \notin GL_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$. Then $G \curvearrowright (X_f, m_{X_f})$ has completely positive topological entropy in the presence.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.5 and Corollary 4.10 of [22].

□

Appendix A. Agreement of Relative Entropy with the Amenable Case

In this section, we show that our definition of (upper) relative entropy for actions of sofic groups agrees with the usual definition when the group is amenable. Suppose that G is an amenable group and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a probability measure-preserving action with $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ standard. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a G-invariant, complete, sub-sigma algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ and that $\alpha \colon X \to A$ is a finite measurable observable. Recall that the relative dynamical entropy (as defined in [44]) of α given $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$h_\mu(\alpha|\mathcal{F}, G) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{H\left(\bigvee_{g\in F_n} g\alpha|\mathcal{F}\right)}{|F_n|},$$

where F_n is a Følner sequence (see [44]). It is shown in [44] that the above limit exists and is independent of the Følner sequence. If $\mathcal{G}$ is another complete, G-invariant, sub-sigma algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ then we define

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F}, G) = \sup_\alpha h_\mu(\alpha|\mathcal{F}, G)$$

where the supremum is over all finite, $\mathcal{G}$-measurable observables α. It is known that if α is a finite measurable observable and $\mathcal{G}$ is the smallest complete, G-invariant, subsigma-algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ containing α, then

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{G}|\mathcal{F}, G) = h_\mu(\alpha|\mathcal{F}, G)$$

(see [44]).

We reduce most of the work to a result of Popa in [38], but we will need to use the notation of a tracial ultraproduct given in Definition 3.9. We shall use the following two examples of tracial ultraproducts in the appendix. Let G be a countable discrete group and $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$, set $(D_\omega, u_\omega) = \prod_{i\to\omega}(\ell^\infty(d_i), u_{d_i})$ where we identify u_{d_i} with the linear functional of integration against u_{d_i}. We then have a u_ω-preserving action σ_ω of G on D_ω by $\sigma_\omega(g)(f_i)_{i\to\omega} = (f_i \circ \sigma_i(g)^{-1})_{i\to\omega}$. Define $\tau_n \colon M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\mathrm{tr}_n(A) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n A_{jj}$. Now consider

$$(M_\omega, \tau_\omega) = \prod_{i\to\omega}(M_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{d_i}).$$

Regarding S_{d_i} as permutation matrices, we then have an induced homomorphism $\sigma_\omega \colon G \to M_\omega$ given by $\sigma_\omega(g) = (\sigma_i(g))_{i\to\omega}$. We will also need the more general notion of a tracial von Neumann algebra.

Definition A.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Recall that the *weak operator topology* is the locally convex topology induced by the family of seminorms $T \mapsto |\langle T\xi, \eta\rangle|$ for $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$. A $*$-subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ which is closed in the weak operator topology and contains the identity operator is called a von Neumann algebra. A *tracial von Neumann algebra* is a pair (M, τ) where M is a von Neumann algebra and $\tau \colon M \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional so that

- $\tau(\mathrm{id}) = 1$
- $\tau(x^*x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in M$
- $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ for all $x, y \in M$,
- $\tau\big|_{\{x\in M:\|x\|\leq 1\}}$ is weak-operator topology continuous.

If (M, τ) is a tracial von Neumann algebra and $x, y \in M$, define $\langle x, y\rangle = \tau(y^*x)$. It follows that $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is an inner product.We set $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$ for $x \in M$. We let $L^2(M, \tau)$ be the completion of (M, τ) under this inner product. We have an induced map $\lambda \colon M \to B(L^2(M, \tau))$ defined densely by $\lambda(x)y = xy$ for $x, y \in M$. We call λ the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal representation of M with respect to τ. If (X, μ) is a probability space, then we may view $L^\infty(X, \mu) \subseteq B(L^2(X, \mu))$ by $f \mapsto m_f$ where

$$(m_f\xi)(x) = f(x)\xi(x), \text{ for } \xi \in L^2(X, \mu).$$

Under this identification, $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is a von Neumann algebra. We will use μ for the linear functional on $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ of integration against μ, thus $(L^\infty(X, \mu), \mu)$ is a tracial von Neumann algebra.

Recall that if (M, τ) is a tracial von Neumann algebra, then τ is faithful if $\tau(x^*x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for $x \in M$. The follow proposition is folklore, but we include it for completeness.

Proposition A.2. *Let (M_j, τ_j) be tracial von Neumann algebras so that $\tau_j, j = 1, 2$ are faithful. Suppose that M_1^0 is a weak operator topology dense $*$-subalgebra of M_1 and that $\theta^0 \colon M_1^0 \to M_2$ is a $*$-homomorphism. If $\tau_2 \circ \theta^o = \tau_1$, then there is a unique $*$-homomorphism $\theta \colon M_1 \to M_2$ extending θ^0 and such that $\theta\big|_{\{x\in M:\|x\|\leq 1\}}$ is weak operator topology continuous and $\tau_2 \circ \theta = \tau_1$.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows from Kaplansky's density theorem. We may assume that $\theta(M_1)$ is weak operator topology dense in M_2 (otherwise, we replace M_2 by $\overline{\theta(M_1)}^{WOT}$). Because θ^0 is a $*$-homomorphism with $\tau_2 \circ \theta^0 = \tau_1$, for $a, b \in M_1^0$ we have

$$\langle\theta^0(a), \theta^0(b)\rangle = \langle a, b\rangle.$$

By density of M_1^0 inside M_1, the above equality implies that we have a well-defined isometry:

$$U \colon L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \to L^2(M_2, \tau_2)$$

such that $U(a) = \theta^0(a)$ for all $a \in M_1^0$. By density of $\theta(M_1^0)$ inside M_2 we have that U is in fact unitary. Let $\lambda_j, j = 1, 2$ be the GNS representation of M_j on $L^2(M_j, \tau_j)$ (see the remarks after Definition A.1). Note that for $a, b, c \in M_1^0$ we have that

$$\langle \lambda_2(\theta^0(a))U(b), U(c)\rangle = \langle \theta^0(ab), \theta^0(c)\rangle = \langle ab, c\rangle = \langle \lambda_1(a)b, c\rangle.$$

By density of $U(M_1^0)$ in $L^2(M_2, \tau_2)$ it follows that $\lambda_2(\theta^0(a)) = U^*\lambda_1(a)U$ for all $a \in M_1^0$. Hence we may define the required θ by the formula

$$\lambda_2(\theta(x)) = U\lambda_1(x)U^*$$

for all $x \in M_1$. □

We also need to recall the notion of crossed product von Neumann algebras. Let G be a countable, discrete, group and let (X, μ) be a standard probability space with $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. For $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu), g \in G$ defined bounded operators m_f, u_g on $L^2(G \times X, \nu \otimes \mu)$, where ν is the counting measure, by

$$(m_f\xi)(g, x) = f(x)\xi(h, x), \quad \xi \in L^2(G \times X, \nu \otimes \mu),$$
$$(u_g\xi)(h, x) = \xi(g^{-1}h, g^{-1}x), \quad \xi \in L^2(G \times X, \nu \otimes \mu).$$

We let $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes G$ be the von Neumann acting on $L^2(G \times X, \nu \otimes \mu)$ generated by m_f, u_g this is called the crossed product von Neumann algebra of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. It is known that $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes G$ has a faithful, normal, tracial state given τ_μ given by

$$\tau_\mu(x) = \langle x \cdot (1 \otimes \delta_e), 1 \otimes \delta_e\rangle$$

where $1 \otimes \delta_e(h, x) = \delta_{e=h}$.

Lemma A.3. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Fix a finite measurable observable $\beta \colon X \to B$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the smallest G-invariant, weak operator topology closed, $*$-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ containing $f \circ \beta$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}^B$. Let F_n be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of G and δ_n a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to zero. Suppose that $(i_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of integers and $\psi_n \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta, F_n, \delta, \sigma_{i_n})$. Then for any free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$, there is a unique $*$-homomorphism*

$$\Psi \colon \mathcal{B} \rtimes G \to \prod_{n\to\omega} (M_{d_{i_n}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{tr}_{d_{i_n}})$$

so that $\Psi(g) = (\sigma_{i_n}(g))_{n\to\omega}$, $\Psi(f \circ \beta^{F_k}) = (f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}$ and with $\mathrm{tr}_\omega \circ \Psi = \tau_\mu$.

Proof. We first define Ψ on $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{f \circ \beta^{F_n} : f \in \mathbb{C}^{B^{F_n}}\}$, and notice that $\mathcal{B}^0$ is a weak operator topology dense, G-invariant, $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Set

$$(D_\omega, u_\omega) = \prod_{n\to\omega} (\ell^\infty(d_{i_n}), u_{d_{i_n}})$$

and define

$$\Psi_0 \colon \mathcal{B}^0 \to D_\omega$$

by $\Psi_0(f \circ \beta^{F_k}) = (f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}$ for every $f \in \mathbb{C}^{B^{F_k}}$. We first check that Ψ_0 is well-defined and that $u_\omega \circ \Psi_0 = \mu$.

First, suppose that $f \in \mathbb{C}^{F_k}$ and that $f \circ \beta^{F_k} = 0$ almost everywhere. We then have

$$\|(f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}\|_2^2 = \lim_{n\to\omega} u_{d_{i_n}}(|f|^2 \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}}).$$

Since $\|(\psi^{F_n}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_*(u_{d_{i_n}}) - (\beta^{F_n})_*\mu\|_1 < \delta_n$ and $\mu(|f|^2 \circ \beta^{F_k}) = 0$ we have:

$$u_{d_{i_n}}(|f|^2 \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}}) \leq \delta_n\|f\|_\infty^2 \to 0.$$

Thus $\|(f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}\|_2^2 = 0$ and so $(f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega} = 0$.

Now suppose $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, that $f \in \mathbb{C}^{F_k}$, $\widetilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}^{F_l}$ and $f \circ \beta^{F_k} = \widetilde{f} \circ \beta^{F_l}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $l \geq k$. Let $q_{k,l} \colon B^{F_l} \to B^{F_k}$ be define by $q_{k,l}(b) = b\big|_{F_k}$. We then have $(f \circ q_{k,l} - \widetilde{f}) \circ \beta^{F_l} = 0$. So by the first case, we have $((f \circ q_{k,l} - \widetilde{f}) \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega} = 0$ and thus $(f \circ \psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega} = (\widetilde{f} \circ \psi^{F_l}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}$, so Ψ_0 is well-defined. As $\|(\psi^{F_n}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_*(u_{d_{i_n}}) - (\beta^{F_n})_*\mu\|_1 < \delta_n$, we also have that $u_\omega \circ \Psi = \mu$. Thus by Proposition A.2, we may extend Ψ uniquely to $*$-homomorphism $\Psi_0 \colon L^\infty(X, \mu) \to D_\omega$ so that $u_\omega \circ \Psi_0 = \mu$.

We claim that Ψ_0 is G-equivariant. By density, it suffices to check that $\Psi_0(a_g(f\circ\beta^{F_k}))=\sigma_\omega(g)\Psi_0(f\circ\beta^{F_k})$ for $g\in G, f\in\mathbb{C}^{B^{F_k}}$. Choose $l\geq k$ large enough so that $gF_k\subseteq F_l$. Define $p_g\colon B^{F_l}\to B^{F_k}$ by $p_g(b)(h)=b(gh)$. It is not hard to check that $a_g(f\circ\beta^{F_k})=f\circ p_g\circ\beta^{F_l}$ Thus $\Psi_0(a_g(f\circ\beta^{F_k}))=(f\circ p_g\circ\psi^{F_l}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}$. It is easy to see that

$$\|(\psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}}\circ\sigma_{i_n}(g)^{-1})_*(u_{d_{i_n}})-(p_g\circ\psi^{F_l}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_*(u_{d_{i_n}})\|_1\to 0$$

as $n\to\infty$. Thus

$$(f\circ p_g\circ\circ\psi^{F_l}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega}=(f\circ\psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}}\circ\sigma_{i_n}(g)^{-1})_{n\to\omega}=\sigma_\omega(g)\Psi_0(f).$$

Let $L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}}G$ be the span of $\{fu_g : f\in L^\infty(X,\mu), g\in G\}$ and define $\Psi\colon L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}}G\to M_\omega$ by $\Psi(fu_g)=\Psi_0(f)(\sigma_i(g))_{i\to\omega}$. Since $u_\omega\circ\Psi_0=\mu$ and Ψ_0 is G-equivariant, we know that Ψ is $*$-homomorphism and that $\mathrm{tr}_\omega\circ\Psi=\tau_\mu$. Thus by Proposition A.2 there we may uniquely extend Ψ to $*$-homomorphism $L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes G\to M_\omega$ so that $\mathrm{tr}_\omega\circ\Psi=\tau_\mu$ and this completes the proof.

□

Lemma A.4. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X,μ) be a standard probability space and $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Let $\beta\colon X\to B$ be a finite measurable observable. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$, and finite $E\subseteq G$, there exists a finite $F\subseteq G$ and a $\delta>0$ so that for all sufficiently large i and every $\psi,\psi\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$, there is a $p\in S_{d_i}$ with*

$$u_{d_i}(\{j:\psi(p(j))=\psi'(j)\})\geq 1-\varepsilon$$

and

$$\max_{g\in E}u_{d_i}(\{j:p(\sigma_i(g)(j))=\sigma_i(g)p(j)\})<\varepsilon.$$

Proof. This is a finitary version of Corollary 5.2 in [38]. Precisely, if the lemma is false we may find

- an $\varepsilon>0$,
- a finite $E\subseteq G$,
- an increasing sequence of finite subsets $F_n\subseteq G$ with $e\in F_n$ and $G=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$,
- a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers δ_n with $\delta_n\to 0$,
- a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers i_n,
- $\psi_n,\psi_n'\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta,F_n,\delta_n,\sigma_{i_n})$

so that for every $p\in S_{d_{i_n}}$ with

$$\max_{g\in E}u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j:p(\sigma_i(g)(j))\neq\sigma_i(g)p(j)\})<\varepsilon, \tag{5}$$

we have

$$u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j:\psi(p(j))=\psi'(j)\})<1-\varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

Fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{B}=\{f\circ\beta : f\in\mathbb{C}^B\}$, and $F_n\mathcal{B}=\{f\circ\beta^{F_n} : f\in\mathbb{C}^{B^{F_n}}\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_0=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n\mathcal{B}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a weak*-dense $*$-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Set

$$(M_\omega,\tau_\omega)=\prod_{n\to\omega}(M_{d_{i_n}}(\mathbb{C}),\mathrm{tr}_{d_{i_n}}).$$

By Lemma A.3, there exists unique, well-defined, G-equivariant $*$-homomorphisms

$$\Psi\colon L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes G\to M_\omega,$$
$$\Psi'\colon L^\infty(X,\mu)\to\rtimes G\to M_\omega,$$

such that $\Psi\big|_G=\sigma_\omega,\Psi'\big|_G=\sigma_\omega$, $\mathrm{tr}_\omega\circ\Psi=\tau_\mu$, $\mathrm{tr}_\omega\circ\Psi'=\tau_\mu$ and for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\Psi(f\circ\beta^{F_k})=(f\circ\psi^{F_k}_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})_{n\to\omega},\text{ for all } f\in\mathbb{C}^{B^{F_k}},$$
$$\Psi'(f\circ\beta^{F_k})=(f\circ(\psi'_{n,\sigma_{i_n}})^{F_k})_{n\to\omega},\text{ for all } f\in\mathbb{C}^{B^{F_k}}.$$

By Corollary 5.2 of [38], we may find a $(p_n)_{i\to\omega}\in\prod_{n\to\omega}S_{d_{i_n}}$ so that

$$p\Psi(x)=\Psi'(x)p\text{ for all }x\in L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes G.$$

We thus have that $(p_n\sigma_{i_n}(g))_{n\to\omega} = (\sigma_{i_n}(g)p_n)_{n\to\omega}$ so

$$\{n : \max_{g\in E} u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j : p_n(\sigma_{i_n}(g)(j)) \neq \sigma_{i_n}(g)p_n(j)\}) < \varepsilon\} \in \omega. \tag{7}$$

For every $f\circ\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $(f\circ\psi_n\circ p_n)_{n\to\omega} = (f\circ\psi'_n)_{n\to\omega}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\omega} u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j : \psi_n(p_n(j)) \neq \psi'_n(j))\}) &= \lim_{n\to\omega}\sum_{b\in B} \|\chi_{\{b\}}\circ\psi_n\circ p_n - \chi_{\{b\}}\circ\psi'_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \lim_{n\to\omega}\sum_{b\in B} \|\chi_{\{b\}}\circ\psi_n\circ p_n - \chi_{\{b\}}\circ\psi'_n\|_2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\{n : u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j : \psi_n(p_n(j)) \neq \psi'_n(j))\}) \geq 1-\varepsilon\} \in \omega. \tag{8}$$

By standard properties of ultrafilters, equations (8),(7) imply that there is an n such that

$$\max_{g\in E} u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j : p_n(\sigma_i(g)(j)) \neq \sigma_i(g)p_n(j)\}) < \varepsilon,$$

$$u_{d_{i_n}}(\{j : \psi(p_n(j)) = \psi'(j)\}) \geq 1-\varepsilon,$$

which contradicts (6).

□

Lemma A.4 automatically implies the following asymptotic independence of $\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi,\cdots)$ in the case the acting group is amenable.

Lemma A.5. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G\to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X,μ) be a standard probability space and $G\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Let $\beta\colon X\to B$, $\alpha\colon X\to A$ and $\gamma\colon X\to C$ be finite measurable observables with $\gamma\geq\alpha\vee\beta$. Then for any finite $F\subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$, there exists a finite $F'\subseteq G$ and a $\delta'>0$ so that for any $\phi,\phi'\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i)$ we have*

$$|\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi':\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i)| \leq |\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)|.$$

Proof. Choose a $\kappa\in(0,\delta)$ so that for all sufficiently large i and all $\psi,\psi'\in B^{d_i}$ with $u_{d_i}(\{j:\psi(j)\neq\psi'(j)\})\leq\kappa$ and $\psi\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F,\delta/2,\sigma_i)$, we have $\phi'\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$ and

$$\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi:\gamma,F,\delta/2,\sigma_i)\subseteq\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi':\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i).$$

We may choose an $\varepsilon\in(0,\kappa)$ so that if $p\in S_{d_i}$ and

$$\max_{g\in F} u_{d_i}(\{j : p(\sigma_i(g)(j)) \neq \sigma_i(g)(p(j))\}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\mathrm{AP}(\gamma,F,\delta/4,\sigma_i)\circ p\subseteq\mathrm{AP}(\gamma,F,\delta/2,\sigma_i).$$

By Lemma A.4, we may choose a finite $F'\subseteq G$ with $F'\supseteq F$ and a $\delta'\in(0,\varepsilon/4)$ so that for all sufficiently large i and all $\phi,\phi'\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta,F',\delta',\sigma_i)$ there is a $p\in S_{d_i}$ with

$$u_{d_i}(\{j:\phi'(p(j))\neq\phi(j)\})\leq\varepsilon$$

and

$$\max_{g\in F} u_{d_i}(\{j : p(\sigma_i(g)(j)) \neq \sigma_i(g)(p(j))\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now suppose $\phi,\phi'\in\mathrm{AP}(\beta:\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i)$. Then for all sufficiently large i, we may find a p as above and for such a p we have

$$\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi':\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i)\circ p\subseteq\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi'\circ p:\gamma,F,\delta/2,\sigma_i)\subseteq\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\phi:\gamma,F,\delta,\sigma_i).$$

□

In order to relate upper relative entropy to relative entropy for amenable groups it turns out to be helpful to write down an equivalent expression for relative entropy.

Definition A.6. Let G be a countable, amenable group and $\sigma\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Let $\beta\colon X \to B$ be a finite measurable observable. We say that a sequence $\psi_i\colon \{1, \dots, d_i\} \to B$ is a sequence of β-microstates if for every finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we have $\psi_{i,\sigma_i}^F \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$ for all large i. Suppose that $\beta\colon X \to B$ and $\gamma\colon X \to C$ are finite measurable observables with $\gamma \leq \alpha \vee \beta$. Given a sequence $(\psi_i)_i$ of β-microstates, a finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log |\,\mathrm{AP}(\alpha|\psi_i : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|,$$

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma, G) = \inf_{\substack{F\subseteq G\text{finite},\\ \delta>0}} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i).$$

In order to show that the above expressions are well-defined, we need the following proposition.

Proposition A.7. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let $G\curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ be a probability measure-preserving action with (X, μ) standard. Let $\beta\colon X \to B$, $\gamma\colon X \to C$ be finite measurable observables with $\beta \leq \gamma$. Then for every finite $F \subseteq G, \delta > 0$ there exists finite $F' \subseteq G$ and a $\delta' > 0$ so that*

$$\mathrm{AP}(\beta, F', \delta', \sigma_i) \subseteq \mathrm{AP}(\beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i).$$

Proof. Let $\rho\colon C \to B$ be such that $\rho \circ \gamma = \beta$ almost everywhere. Define $\omega\colon X \to C^G$ by $\omega(x)(g) = \gamma(g^{-1}x)$, and set $\widetilde{\mu} = \omega_*\mu$. Note that if we let $G\curvearrowright C^G$ by left shifts, then $G\curvearrowright(C^G, \widetilde{\mu})$ is a factor of (X, μ). We may assume that (X, μ) is $(C^G, \widetilde{\mu})$ and that $\gamma\colon C^G \to C$ is defined by $\gamma(c) = c(e)$. We will thus not continue to write $\widetilde{\mu}$, but use μ instead. Now set $\nu = \rho_*^G\mu$ and let Δ_B, Δ_C be the dynamically generating pseudometrics on C^G, B^G defined by

$$\Delta_C(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c_1(e) \neq c_2(e) \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1(e) = c_2(e) \end{cases}, \Delta_B(b_1, b_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b_1(e) \neq b_2(e) \\ 0, & \text{if } b_1(e) = b_2(e) \end{cases}.$$

For $E \subseteq G$ finite and $c \in C^E$, define $\chi_c \otimes 1_{G\setminus E} \in C(C^G)$ by $\chi_c(c') = \chi_{\{c\}}(c'|_E)$, similarly define $\chi_b \otimes 1_{G\setminus E}$ for $b \in B^E$. For $c \in C^{d_i}$, we define

$$\phi_c\colon \{1, \dots, d_i\} \to C^G$$

by $\phi_c(j)(g) = c(\sigma_i(g)^{-1}(j))$ and similarly define ϕ_b for $b \in B^{d_i}$. Let $L = \{\chi_c \otimes 1_{G\setminus E} : c \in C^F\}$ we may choose a $\kappa > 0$ so that for all sufficiently large i and for all $c \in C^{d_i}$ with $\phi_c \in \mathrm{Map}_\mu(\Delta_C, F, L, \kappa, \sigma_i)$ we have $c \in \mathrm{AP}(\gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$. We may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small so that for all large i and all $\phi \in B^{d_i}$ with $\Delta_{B,2}(\phi, \mathrm{Map}_\mu(B^G : \Delta_C, F, L, \kappa/2, \sigma_i)) < \varepsilon$, we have $\phi \in \mathrm{Map}_\mu(B^G : \Delta_C, F, L, \kappa, \sigma_i)$. By Lemma A.1 of [23], we may choose finite $F_0' \subseteq G, L' \subseteq C(X)$ and a $\kappa' > 0$ so that

$$\mathrm{Map}_\nu(\Delta_B, F_0', L', \kappa', \sigma_i) \subseteq_{\varepsilon,\Delta_{B,2}} \mathrm{Map}_\mu(B^G : \Delta_C, F, L, \kappa/2, \sigma_i).$$

By a density argument (and potentially shrinking κ') we may assume that there is a finite $F_1' \subseteq G$ so that $L' \subseteq \{\chi_b \otimes 1_{G\setminus F_1'} : b \in B^{F_1'}\}$. Set $F' = F_0' \cup F_1'$, it is then not hard to see that we find a $\delta' > 0$ so that if $b \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta, F', \delta', \sigma_i)$, then $\phi_b \in \mathrm{Map}(\Delta_B, F_0', L', \kappa', \sigma_i)$. It then follows that

$$\mathrm{AP}(\beta, F', \delta', \sigma_i) \subseteq \mathrm{AP}(\gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i).$$

□

Lemma A.8. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Let $\beta\colon X \to B$, $\alpha\colon X \to A$ and $\gamma\colon X \to C$ be finite measurable observables with $\alpha \vee \beta \leq \gamma$. Let $\psi_i \in B^{d_i}$ be any sequence of β-microstates. Then*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma).$$

Proof. First note that, as we remarked in Proposition A.7, for every finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we have that $\psi_i \in \mathrm{AP}(\beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$. We thus have

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) \geq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma).$$

We now focus on proving the reverse inequality. Fix a finite $F \subseteq G$ and a $\delta > 0$. Choose F', δ' as in Lemma A.5. We then have for all large i,

$$\sup_{\phi \in \mathrm{AP}(\alpha:\gamma,F',\delta',\sigma_i)} |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\phi : \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)| \leq |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\psi_i : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|.$$

Thus

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, G) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : \gamma, F, \delta).$$

Taking the infimum over all F, δ completes the proof.

□

It will also be helpful to note that we may replace the limit supremum in the definition of relative entropy with limit infimum.

Definition A.9. Let G be a countable, amenable group and $\sigma\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ by measure-preserving transformations. Let $\alpha\colon X \to A$ be a finite measurable observable. Suppose $\gamma\colon X \to C$ is a finite measurable observables with $\gamma \geq \alpha$. Given a finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$\underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i) = \liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|,$$

$$\underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, G) = \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq G \text{finite,} \\ \delta > 0}} \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i).$$

The following is more or less a consequence of results of Bowen [8] and Kerr-Li [26]

Lemma A.10. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Let $\alpha\colon X \to A, \gamma\colon X \to C$ be finite measurable observables with $\gamma \geq \alpha$. Then*

$$\underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, G) = h_\mu(\alpha, G).$$

Proof. It is implicitly shown in [8],[26] that

$$h_\mu(\alpha, G) = \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \alpha, G)$$

and we always have that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \alpha, G) \geq \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, G).$$

For the reverse inequality, fix finite $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$. By Proposition A.7 we may find a finite $F' \subseteq G$ and a $\delta' > 0$ so that

$$\operatorname{AP}(\alpha : \alpha, F', \delta', \sigma_i) \subseteq \operatorname{AP}(\alpha : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i).$$

It follows that

$$\underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \alpha, G) \leq \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \alpha, F', \delta') \leq \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha : \gamma, F, \delta).$$

And taking the infimum over F, δ completes the proof.

□

Theorem A.11. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i\colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. Fix finite measurable observables $\beta\colon X \to B$, $\alpha\colon X \to A$ and $\gamma\colon X \to C$ with $\gamma \geq \alpha \vee \beta$. Let S_β be the smallest, complete, G-invariant subsigma-algebra of $\mathcal{X}$ which makes β measurable. Then*

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, G) = h_\mu(\alpha|S_\beta, G).$$

Proof. We always have $h_\mu(\alpha \vee \beta, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma, G)$ (this is essentially by combining Corollary 5.2 of [38] with [8],[26] see e.g. Theorem A.2 of [23]). Thus by Proposition 2.6 (ii):

$$h_\mu(\alpha \vee \beta, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma, G) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\beta : \gamma, G) + h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, G).$$

Using the Abramov-Rokhlin formula $h_\mu(\alpha|S_\beta, G) = h_\mu(\alpha \vee \beta, G) - h_\mu(\beta, G)$ (see [2],[6],[44],[15]) and $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\beta : \gamma, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\beta, G)$ we find that

$$h_\mu(\alpha|S_\beta, G) \leq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma, G).$$

We focus on proving the reverse inequality. Choose a sequence $\psi_i \in B^{d_i}$ of β-microstates. Fix a finite $F \subseteq G$ and a $\delta > 0$ and let $F' \subseteq G, \delta' > 0$ be as in the conclusion to Lemma A.5. We thus have, for all large i,

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)| &= \sum_{\phi \in \operatorname{AP}(\beta:\gamma, F, \delta, \sigma_i)} |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\phi, F, \delta, \sigma_i)| \\ &\geq \sum_{\phi \in \operatorname{AP}(\beta:\gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)} |\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\phi, F, \delta, \sigma_i)| \\ &\geq |\operatorname{AP}(\beta : \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i)||\operatorname{AP}(\alpha|\psi_i : F', \delta', \sigma_i)| \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha \vee \beta : \gamma, F, \delta) &\geq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|(\psi_i)_i : F, \delta) + \underline{h}_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\beta : \gamma, F', \delta', \sigma_i) \\ &\geq h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) + h_\mu(\beta, G), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we use Lemmas A.10 and A.8 . Taking the infimum over all F, δ and arguing as in the first half we see that

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\alpha|\beta : \gamma) \leq h_\mu(\alpha|S_\beta, G).$$

□

Corollary A.12. *Let G be a countable amenable group and $\sigma_i \colon G \to S_{d_i}$ a sofic approximation. Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a probability measure-preserving action. For any G-invariant sigma algebras $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2, G) = h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}, G).$$

Proof. For a finite $\mathcal{F}_2$-measurable observable β, let S_β be defined as in Theorem A.11. We have that

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2, G) = \sup_\alpha \inf_\beta h_\mu(\alpha|S_\beta, G)$$

where the supremum is over all finite $\mathcal{F}_1$-measurable observables α and the infimum is over all finite $\mathcal{F}_2$-measurable observables β. A similar formula also holds for $h_{(\sigma_i)_i,\mu}(\mathcal{F}_1|\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{X}, G)$. From this, we see that the general case follows from Theorem A.11.

□

References

[1] A. Aaserud and S. Popa. Approximate equivalence of actions. *arXiv:1511.00307*, 2015.

[2] L. Abramov and V. Rokhlin. Entropy of a skew product of mappings with invariant measure. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.*, 17(7):5–13, 1962.

[3] T. Austin. Additivity properties of sofic entropy and measures on model spaces. *arXiv:1510.02392*.

[4] T. Austin and P. Burton. Uniform mixing and completely positive sofic entropy. *arXiv:1603.09026*.

[5] K. Berg. Convolution of invariant measures, maximal entropy. *Math. Systems Theory*, 3:146–150, 1969.

[6] T. Bogenschütz and H. Crauel. The Abramov-Rokhlin formula. In *Ergodic theory and related topics, III (Güstrow, 1990)*, volume 1514 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 32–35. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[7] L. Bowen. Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc*, 23:217–245, 2010.

[8] L. Bowen. Sofic entropy and amenable groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 32, 2011.

[9] L. Bowen. Every countably infinite group is almost Ornstein. In *Dynamical systems and group actions*, volume 567 of *Contemp. Math*, pages 67–78. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 2012.

[10] L. Bowen and H.Li. Harmonic models and spanning forests of residually finite groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 263(7):1769–1808, 2012.

[11] N. Brown and N. Ozawa. *C^*-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*. American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[12] P. Burton. Completely positive entropy actions of sofic groups with $\mathbb{Z}$ in their center. *arXiv:1502.01861*.

[13] N. Chung and H. Li. Homoclinc group, IE group, and expansive algebraic actions. *Invent. Math to appear*.

[14] J. Conway. *A Course in Operator Theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.

[15] A. I. Danilenko. Entropy theory from the orbital point of view. *Monatsh. Math.*, 134(2):121–141, 2001.

[16] C. Deninger. Fuglede-Kadison determinants and entropy for actions of discrete amenable groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19:737–758, 2006.

[17] K. Dykema, D. Kerr, and M. Pichot. Sofic dimension for discrete measurable groupoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 366(2):707–748, 2013.

[18] D. Gaboriau and B. Seward. Cost, ℓ^2-Betti numbers and the sofic entropy of some algebraic actions. *arXiv:1509.02482*.

[19] E. Glasner, J.-P. Thouvenot, and B. Weiss. Entropy theory without a past. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(5):1355–1370, 2000.
[20] B. Hayes. Doubly quenched convergence and the entropy of algebraic actions of sofic groups. *arXiv:1603.06450*.
[21] B. Hayes. Fuglede-Kadison determinants and sofic entropy. *arXiv:1402.1135*.
[22] B. Hayes. Independence tuples and Deninger's problem. *arXiv:1502.03858*.
[23] B. Hayes. Mixing and spectral gap relative to Pinsker factors for sofic groups. *arXiv:1509.07839*.
[24] D. Kerr. Sofic measure entropy via finite partitions. *Groups Geom. Dyn*, 7(617-632), 2013.
[25] D. Kerr. Bernoulli actions of sofic groups have completely positive entropy. *Israel J. Math*, 202(1):461–474, 2014.
[26] D. Kerr and H.Li. Soficity, amenability, and dynamical entropy. *Amer. J. Math*, 135(3):721–761, 2013.
[27] D. Kerr and H. Li. Topological entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. *Invent. Math*, 186:501–558, 2011.
[28] D. Kerr and H. Li. Combinatorial independence and sofic entropy. *Comm. Math. Stat.*, 1(2):213–257, 2014.
[29] J. Kieffer. A generalized Shannon-McMillan theorem for the action of an amenable group on a probability space. *Ann.Prob*, 3(6):1031–1037, 1975.
[30] H. Li. Compact group automorphisms, addition formulas and Fuglede-Kadison determinants. *Ann. of Math.*, 176(1):303–347, 2012.
[31] H. Li and B.Liang. Sofic mean length. *arXiv:1510.07655*.
[32] H. Li, K. Schmidt, and J. Peterson. Ergodicity of principal algebraic group actions. *Contemp. Math. to appear*.
[33] H. Li and A. Thom. Entropy, determinants, and ℓ^2-torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(1):239–292, 2014.
[34] D. Lind, K. Schmidt, and T. Ward. Mahler measure and entropy for commuting automorphisms of compact groups. *Invent. Math.*, 101:593–629, 1990.
[35] D. A. Lind. Translation invariant sigma algebras on groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 42:218–221, 1974.
[36] R. Lyons. Asymptotic enumeration of spanning trees. *Combin. Probab. Comput*, 14(4):491–522, 2005.
[37] L. Paunescu. On sofic actions and equivalence relations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 261(9):2461–2485, November 2011.
[38] S. Popa. Independence properties in sublagebras of ultraproduct II_1 factors. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 266(9):5818–5846, 2014.
[39] V. A. Rohlin. Metric properties of endomorphisms of compact commutative groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 28:867–874, 1964.
[40] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin*, volume 128 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
[41] B. Seward. Krieger's finite generator theorem for ergodic actions of countable groups I. *arXiv:1405.3604*.
[42] B. Seward. Weak containment and rokhlin entropy. *arXiv:1602.06680*.
[43] M. Takesaki. *The Theory of Operator Algebras I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2002.
[44] T. Ward and Q. Zhang. The Abramov-Rohlin entropy addition formula for amenable group actions. *Monatsh. Math*, 114(3-4):317–329, 1992.

Stevenson Center, Nashville, TN 37240
E-mail address: benjamin.r.hayes@vanderbilt.edu